A JOURNAL DEVOTED TO THE STUDY OF ISLAM AND OF CHRISTIAN-MUSLIM RELATIONSHIP IN PAST AND PRESENT

THE

MUSLIM

VORLD

Editors Willem A. Bijlefeld Wadi' Z. Haddad Yvonne Y. Haddad

CONTENTS

ISLAM, WOMEN AND REVOLUTION IN TWENTIETH-CENTURY ARAB THOUGHT Yvonne Y. Haddad

IBN SĪNĀ'S FOURTH ONTOLOGICAL ARGUMENT FOR GOD'S EXISTENCE Steve A. Johnson

THE IDENTITY OF THE ŞĀBI'ŪN: AN HISTORICAL QUEST Christopher Buck

A STUDY OF TWENTIETH-CENTURY COMMENTARY ON SURAT AL-NUR (24):27-33 Mark N. Swanson

BOOK REVIEWS BOOKS RECEIVED NOTES OF THE QUARTER SURVEY OF PERIODICALS

Volume LXXIV Nos. 3-4 Published by

The Duncan Black Macdonald Center at Hartford Seminary July-October 1984

IN THIS ISSUE

This double issue opens with a consideration of contemporary Arab thought concerning Islam, women, and revolution. The author, Dr. Yvonne Y. Haddad, an editor of MW and on the faculty of the Duncan Black Macdonald Center at Hartford Seminary, presented the text at a symposium on "Women, Religion and Social Change," held in Hartford in 1983. (The proceedings of the symposium will be published in a book by the same name to be released in 1985 and it is with the kind permission of the State University of New York Press that Dr. Haddad's article is also included herein.)

Mr. Steve A. Johnson, Director of Publications for the Islamic Society of North America (Plainfield, Indiana) "offers a fourth ontological argument implicit in Ibn Sīnā's philosophy." While, according to the author, "Ibn Sīnā fails to offer an adequate cosmological proof for God's existence,... his defective proof is not without some value, because in the cosmological argument are the beginnings of a demonstration of the possibility of God, and if God is possible, then it follows logically that God does in fact exist."

This time (see the earlier article by Jane Dammen McAuliffe, "Exegetical Identification of the Ṣābi'ūn," *MW*, LXXII [1982], 95-106) the identity of the Ṣābi'ūn is explored from an historical rather than an exegetical perspective. Mr. Christopher Buck of Vancouver, British Columbia tests the hypothesis that many "tenthcentury identifications of the sabi'un ... reflect the first wave of Muslim expansion after the Prophet's death."

The closing article by Mr. Mark N. Swanson, is "an attempt to listen to a number of modern Qur'ān commentators as they exegete Sūrat al-Nūr (24):27-33," a passage to which the author's attention was drawn by his reading of al-Mawdūdī's comments which therefore constitute, in a sense, the starting point for this study.

THE IDENTITY OF THE ṢĀBI'ŪN: AN HISTORICAL QUEST

One of the mysterious and unsolved Qur'anic problems surrounds the identity of the Sabians, al-sabi'an. As Jane McAuliffe has shown in her study published in an earlier volume of this journal,¹ Muslim exegetes reflect uncertainty on this point from the very start. Over time the term so diversified in meaning that it became even more difficult to determine to whom it referred. Yet one would assume that in the Qur'an al-sabi'an denotes a specific historical community. Placed alongside Jews, Christians, and "the Believers" (Muslims) in S. 2:62, one is tempted to regard the Sabians as a fourth monotheistic community. This association is all the more inviting when once again, in S. 5:69, the same four religions are distinguished following an address to the "possessors of scripture" several verses earlier. This sense of parallelism would appear in S. 22:17 to extend to the *Majūs*—who are called into question by the obtrusion into the context of "those who associate" (gods with God). Whatever the solution, these groups constitute a significant internal witness to the religious demography, so to speak, within the Qur'anic universe.

To make sense of the demographic puzzle in which the Sabians figure as the least familiar piece, a fresh methodological "angle" is suggested by the inconclusive data obtained from the study of Muslim commentators. Their indecisive witness is itself a problem which may need to be explained in other ways than simply as resulting from educated speculation. Complementary to McAuliffe's illustrative exegetical survey, the present study employs, therefore, an historical methodology which enables us to place history alongside tradition. A comparison will be drawn between the prominent religious communities Islam encountered during its first two centuries of expansion and the groups identified as sabi'an by Arabic and Persian authorities, primarily of the tenth century. Moreover, data gleaned from pre-Islamic sources will offer an independent witness, especially crucial for determining who the Qur'anic Sabians originally were.

Waardenburg provides a fairly sequential list of religious communities encountered in the course of conquests outside Arabia during the seventh and eighth centuries A.D.:

- 1) Mazdaeans in Mesopotamia, Iran and Transoxania
- 2) Christians of different persuasions:
 - -Néstorians in Mesopotamia and Iran
 - -Monophysites in greater Syria, Egypt, and Armenia
 - -Orthodox Melkites in greater Syria
 - -Orthodox Latins in North Africa
 - -Arians in Spain
- 3) Jews in Mesopotamia and Iran, greater Syria, and Egypt

¹ Jane Dammen McAuliffe, "Exegetical Identification of the Ṣābi'ūn," *MW*, LXXII (1982), 95-106.

- 4) Samaritans in Palestine
- 5) Mandaeans in south Mesopotamia
- 6) Harrānians in north Mesopotamia
- 7) Manichaeans in Mesopotamia and Egypt
- 8) Buddhists and Hindus in Sind
- 9) Followers of tribal religions in east Africa.²

Excluded from Waardenburg's list are the Sabaeans of the spice-exporting kingdom of Saba' which flourished in the mountain altitudes in South Arabia, whose rich legendary history finds expression in the "Queen of Sheba" and Solomon narrative of the Qur'ān. They should not be confused with the distinctly different Sabians. The first in fact to be called a $s\bar{a}b\bar{r}$ was the Prophet Muhammad. In the earliest extant biography of the Prophet he is referred to as "This $s\bar{a}bi$ ' who destroyed the authority of Quraysh,"³ and the Prophet's followers are referred to as $sub\bar{a}t$.⁴ 'Umar b. Wahb's conversion is described as saba'a, in a context which scarcely requires the meaning "baptized."⁵ The interesting occurrence in the Qur'ān of the related word sibgha (S. 2:138) heightens the ambivalence of what has often been rendered as "baptism."⁶ Sābi', however disputed its etymology, came to serve as one of several designations for "proselyte" (hanīf/sābi/muhājir/anṣār).⁷ This initial post-Qur'anic association with the Sabians prompted Ibn al-Nadīm, to whom we will refer later,⁸ to speak in his Kitāb al-Fihrist of the monotheistic hanīfs as "the Ibrahimitic Sabians."

The hypothesis we want to test in this article is that the tenth-century identifications of the *sabi'ūn* which will be considered here reflect the first wave

² J Waardenburg, "World Religions as Seen in the Light of Islam" in *Islam Past Influence and Present Challenge*, ed A Welch and P Cachia (Edinburgh University Press, 1979), p 248

³ J Wansbrough, The Sectarian Milieu Content and Composition in Islamic Salvation History (London Oxford University Press, 1978), p 102 The exegetical tradition, Wansbrough informs us, glosses sābi'as "he who separates himself from the religion" Guillaume renders it, "a man who changes his religion", for the triple occurrence of this word in the Sīra see A Guillaume, The Life of Muhammad A Translation of Ishāq's Sīrat Rasūl Allāh (London Oxford University Press, 1955), pp 205, 567, 639 Comparable is Waqīdī's usage in Maghāzi, 32, see Wansbrough, Milieu, p 102

⁴ Guillaume, *Life*, p 205

⁵ Wansbrough, Milieu, p 102

⁶ R Paret, *Der Koran Kommentar und Konkordanz* (Stuttgart Kohlhammer, 1971), pp 33-34, Rodwell, *Koran*, p 352

⁷ Wansbrough, *Milieu*, p 100

In his 1972 study on the Harranian Sabians Hjarpe discussed various theories regarding their identity and devoted separate appendices to the etymology of the word sābiūn and the relationship between the terms sabi and hanīf, Jan Hjarpe, Analyse critique des traditions arabes sur les Sabiens Harraniens (Uppsala Skriv Service AB, 1972), esp pp 1-34 He summarized the main theses of the classical study of D Chwolsohn, Die Ssabier und der Ssabismus, I-II (St Petersburg Buchdruckerei der kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1856, reprint New York-London Johnson Reprint, 1965), and concluded (p 21) "Il semble évident que le mot 'sabéen' chez les anciens auteurs arabes signifie 'gnostique' (dans un sens étendu), ainsi que Pedersen l'a proposé Cette interprétation rend les textes plus compréhensibles et est préférable à la thèse de Chwolsohn selon laquelle 'sabéen' signifie d'abord 'mandéen' et ensuite 'adorateur des astres' et enfin 'paien ""

⁸ See especially note 38

of Muslim expansion after the Prophet's death, especially the encounter with the groups listed by Waardenburg under numbers 5-9 ⁹

Mandaeans in south Mesopotamia It should not surprise us that the literary sources available to us are relatively late, since Islamic historiography was essentially the extended memory of oral tradition for around a century and a half With this in mind we should face with greater openness the value as well as the limitations of the often-contradictory tradition and be careful not to assume that disparate data are necessarily meaningless. In the case of the Sabians, an interpretation which accounts for diverse identifications would show the validity of data which otherwise would simply be dismissed as contradictory and therefore wrong

From the end of the tenth century dates an important reference to the Sabians, from no one less than al- $B\bar{r}un\bar{i}$ (d 1048), who writes that the name applies to

the remnants of the captive Jews in Babylonia, whom Nebukadnezar had transferred from Jerusalem to that country After having freely moved about in Babylonia, and having acclimatized themselves to the country, they found it inconvenient to return to Syria, therefore they preferred to stay in Babylonia Their religion wanted a certain solid foundation, in consequence of which they listened to the doctrines of the Magians, and *inclined* towards some of them. So their religion became a mixture of Magian and Jewish elements like that of the so-called Samaritans who were transferred from Babylonia to Syria.

The greatest part of this sect is living in Sawâd-al-'Irâk. These are the real Sabians They live, however, very much scattered and nowhere in places that belong exclusively to them alone Besides, they do not agree among themselves on any subject, wanting a solid ground upon which to base their religion, such as a direct or indirect divine revelation or the like Genealogically they trace themselves back to Enos, the son of Seth, the son of Adam ¹⁰

Elsewhere in his erudite *Chronology of Ancient Nations* the Persian savant states in a similar passage that "the real Sabians differ from the Harrānians, blaming their doctrines and not agreeing with them except in a few matters. In praying, even, they turn towards the north pole, whilst the Harrānians turn towards the south pole"¹¹ While al-Bīrūnī does not designate them by any other name than the general category Sabians, the religion described here is clearly that of the

⁹ Professor H Kassis informed me that the Syrian scholar Sayyid 'Abd al-Razzāq al-Husayni of Sayda has sought to demonstrate that at one juncture the Mazdaeans or Zoroastrians (the first group on Waardenburg's list) were identified as Sabians, but I have been unable thus far to obtain this study See below, at note 16

¹⁰ Al-Biruni, *The Chronology of Ancient Nations*, tr C Edward Sachau (London W H Allen and Co, 1879, Frankfurt Minerva Reprint, 1969), p 314 For a slightly different translation of this passage see J Pedersen, "The Sābians," in *A Volume of Oriental Studies presented to Edward G Browne*, ed T Arnold and R Nicholson (Cambridge University Press, 1922), p 389

¹¹ Chronology, p 188 For a French translation and a discussion of these two passages see Hjarpe, Les Sabeens, pp 6-8 (cf also p 185, index, s v al-Biruni)

Subba or Sabba, the more formal self-designation being the Mandai or Mandaeans.¹²

These so-called "Christians of St. John" did (and still do) face the Polar Star as their qibla—the North is the source of light, enlightenment, and healing. This is a heritage from the Babylonians, distinct from both the Zoroastrians and the Harrānians, who would turn south. A further point of agreement with al-Bīrūnī's account regards the tradition of the "founder" of the religion, since Mandaean priests¹³ count Anush or "Enoch" as the first priest. The final point of accord touches on origins. In the second passage referred to above, al-Bīrūnī reports of the Sabians: "For the Sabians are the remnant of the Jewish tribes who remained in Babylonia, when the other tribes left it for Jerusalem in the days of Cyrus and Artaxerxes. Those remaining tribes felt themselves attracted to the rites of the Magians, and so they *inclined* (were *inclined*, i.e. sabi) towards the religion of Nebukadnezzar, and adopted a system mixed up of Magism and Judaism like that of the Samaritans in Syria."¹⁴ In the Mandaean legend of "Nebuchadnezzar's Daughter" we find a similar report of their origins:

Some of the Jews fled until they reached Babylon. The King Nebuchadnezzar (*Bukhtanassar*) said to the rabbis and cohens, "Why did you thus? Why did you kill these people of your own blood without right?" . . . They said, "The Nasurai have a secret doctrine, and that was the reason." The king replied, "I myself, and my following, we will go also and become of their company." He and his wise men left the Kingdom and went to the Mountain of the Mandai... and ... were made Mandai.¹⁵

Al-Bīrūnī's assertion that Sabian doctrine and praxis partly derived from a Magian heritage seems to establish some association of the şābi'ūn with the community mentioned as the first one on Waardenburg's list.¹⁶ But the main point of interest is undoubtedly the distinction he makes between various groups somehow falling under the general category of şābi'ūn and the identification of the Mandaeans as the *real* Sabians.

Harrānians in north Mesopotamia. The Harrānians referred to above were the ancient Carrhae, a pagan sect in Harrān influenced by Hellenism.¹⁷ Al-Bīrūnī refers to them immediately following his discussion of "the real Sabians."

The same name is also applied to the Harrânians, who are the remains of the followers of the ancient religion of the West, separated (cut off) from it, since the Ionian Greeks (i.e., the ancient Greeks, not the . . . Byzantine Greeks) adopted Christianity. . . . This sect is much more known by the

¹² E. Drower, *The Mandaeans of Iran and Iraq: Their Cults, Customs, Magic, Legends, and Folklore* (Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1962), pp. 1, 16.

¹³ Ibid., pp. 18; xxiii.

¹⁴ Chronology, p. 188.

¹⁵ Drower, Mandaeans, p. 286.

¹⁶ See above note 9.

¹⁷ H. Gätje, *The Qur'an and its Exegesis*, tr. A. Welch (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1976), p. 265.

name of Sabians than the others, although they themselves did not adopt this name before A H 228 under Abbasid rule, soley for the purpose of being reckoned among those from whom the duties of *Dhimma* are accepted, and towards whom the laws of Dhimma are observed Before that time they were called heathens, idolaters, and Harrânians¹⁸

A survey of their feasts follows after which al-Bīrūnī expresses the hope that contacts with the Harrānians themselves will enable him "to distinguish between what is peculiar to the Sabians, the Harrânians, and the ancient magians"¹⁹

The twelfth-century Persian scholar al-Sharastānī was in a position to learn more about these Sabians In his *Book of Religions and Philosophical Sects* we are told that the largest, most influential sect of Harrānians were called *ashāb al-rūhāniyyāt*, or "proponents of spiritual beings" According to the author, the rūhāniyyāt served as intermediaries through whom the Wise and Productive Creator ought to be sought²⁰ By purifying one's soul, and controlling one's passions, one could enter into a communion with the spirits, who direct the force of the divine power towards the lower beings At times the Sabians called the plant fathers and the elements mothers One could see why Caliph al-Ma'mūn thought of destroying these "star-worshippers,"²¹ but their intellectual prowess won them toleration The Sabian Abū Ishaq b Hilāl, secretary under Caliphs al-Mutī' and al-Tā'i^c, succeeded in securing an edict of toleration in favor of his coreligionists of Harrān around the year 364/975²²

Manichaeans in Samarqand Manichaeans within the Muslim empire were, for the most part, stigmatized as Zindiqs (from the Persian *zandīq*, "follower of Zand") and thus subjected to persecution The only exception was the community of Manichaeans who were known as (presumably lawful) Sabians Ibn al-Nadīm informs us that when Manichaeans sought refuge in Samarqand to escape persecutions in the tenth century, the ruler of Khurāsān wished to put them all to death Reprieval came as an unexpected fortune when the Manichaean king of the Uighurs nearby at Qočo threatened to kill all Muslims within his kingdom if any harm should befall the Manichaeans in Samarqand²³ On the authority of al-Bīrūnī we are told

¹⁸ Chronology, pp 314-15 See at note 10 above

¹⁹ Ibid, p 318

²⁰ That is, the largest, most influential sect of Harranians See B Lawrence, "Shahrastāni on Indian Idol Worship," *Stilsi*, XXXVIII (1973), 66 For the in this connection most important passages in al-Shahrastāni see below note 31

²¹ When in A D 830 al-Ma'mun encountered the people of Harran, an official of the victor enquired "Who are you?" The folk of Harran replied, "Harranians" "Christians or Jews?" "Neither," was the answer The conqueror demanded, "Have you any holy books or a prophet?" To this the Harranians gave a guarded and confusing response Whereupon the official observed "You must be Zindiqs (zanādiqa)" From that time onward, the Harrānians adopted and kept the name Sābi'un See Chwolson, *Die Ssabier*, II, 15–17, the passage is from Ibn al-Nadim's *Fihrist* (Arabic text and German trsl are given), this section is also quoted in H Nibley "Qumran and 'The Companions of the Cave," *Revue de Qumran*, V (1965), 187

²² B Carra de Vaux, "al-Sābi'a," E1 IV/I, 22

²³ S Lieu The Diffusion and Persecution of Manichaeism in Rome and China A Comparative Study (Ph D diss University of Oxford 1981) p 64 and p 243 n 351

Of his [Mānī's] adherents, some remnants that are considered as Manichaean are still extant: they are scattered throughout the world and do not live together in any particular place of Muhammadan countries, except the community in Samarkand, known by the name of *Sabians.*²⁴

Buddhists and Hindus in Sind. For all of al-Biruni's knowledge of Brahmanism, it is remarkable how little he knew of Buddhism.²⁵ Nevertheless, he is our main authority for the extension of the term Sabian to include the Buddha and his followers. Al-Biruni placed the Buddha in the class of "pseudo-prophets" who deluded their communities: "The first mentioned is Bûdhâsaf, who came forward in India after the 1st year of Tahmûrath. He introduced the Persian writing and called people to the religion of the Sâbians. Whereupon many people followed him."²⁶ It seems likely that al-Biruni was acquainted with the history of the Buddha through the Arabic romance Kitāb Bilawhar wa Yūdāsaf (Būdhāsaf). Not a direct translation of any single Indian Buddhist work, the Arabic legendary life of the Buddha is a syncretic compilation of episodes found in the Buddha-carita, the Mahāvastu, the Lalita-vistara, and the Jataka Tales.²⁷ Right after al-Biruni tells of the Budhasaf, he mentions Balkh. This is an association which may not have been accidential, for Balkh (along with Sogdiana and Ferghana) had a strong Buddhist minority. Indeed, the ruins of the Buddhist period in Balkh, associated with names from the Persian saga-cycles (Takht-i-Rustam, etc.) are better preserved than the Muslim ruins.²⁸ Apparently, extension of the term Sabians to include Buddhists was not peculiar to al-Bīrūnī, but was a practice among other Islamic writers as well.²⁹

Al-Shahrastānī was apparently the first author to apply the designation Sabians to various religious groups in India, using it to classify them according to degrees of idolatry.³⁰ In the earlier mentioned section of his *Kitāb al-milal wa l-nihal* he discussed how the Sabians traced their teachings back to Ådhīmūn (Agathodaimon; Shīth, Seth) and Hermes (Idrīs, Henoch),³¹ and dealt with them mainly under the three categories of *aṣhāb al-rūhāniyyāt* (referred to above),

²⁹ Lang, "Bilawhar," E.I., new ed., I, 1215-17; S. Pines, "Shī'ite Terms and Conceptions in Judah Halevi's Kuzari," Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam, II (1980), 196, in the section "Two Points of Similarity between the Kuzari and the Disputation between the Şābians and the Hunafā' in al-Shahrastānī's Kitāb al-Milal wa 1-Niḥal." Al-Mas'ūdī occasionally links the Buddha (Būdhāsib) with the Sabian religion; see A. Shboul, Al-Mas'ūdī and his World: A Muslim Humanist and his Interest in non-Muslims (London: Ithaca, 1979), p. 162 and references on p. 203.

³⁰ Lawrence, "Shahrastānī," StIsl, XXXVIII (1973), 65.

³¹ Kitab al-milal (Cureton ed.), p. 202; German trsl. Theodor Haarbrüker, Asch-Schahrastàni's Religionspartheien und Philosophen-Schulen (Halle: C.A. Schwetschke & Sohn, 1850; reprint Hildesheim: Georg Olms Verlag, 1969), II, 3. Both were also mentioned as prophets of the Sabians by al-Bīrūnī, Chronology, p. 188. For a further discussion of this topic see the section "Les 'prophètes' des sabéens, harrāniens," in Hjärpe, Les Sabéens, pp. 164-68.

²⁴ Chronology, p. 191.

²⁵ See Jane Smith, "Early Muslim Accounts of Buddhism in India," SI, X (1973), 94-96.

²⁶ Chronology, p. 186.

²⁷ D.M. Lang, "Bilawhar wa-Yūdāsaf," E.I., new ed., I, 1215-17.

²⁸ S. Yusuf, "The Early Contacts between Islam and Buddhism," University of Ceylon Review, XIII (1955), 22-23, citing R.N. Frye's article, "Balkh," E.I., new ed., I, 1000-1002.

^c*abadat al-kawākib* (star worshippers) and ^c*abadat al-asnām* (idol worshippers).³² Making use of these same three categories in his final chapter, "Arā' al-Hind," he could find a place for eleven Indian religious groups under one of these headings (excluding only the Barāhima and the Indian philosophers), moving from the most spiritual among them, especially the followers of Viṣṇu and Śiva, via the star worshippers to those who worship idols which their own hands have made.³³

Followers of tribal religions in East Africa. During Islam's expansion in its first two centuries it also encountered tribal religions in Africa. The process which led to the eventual identification of African aboriginal religions with Sabianism involved an intermediate association, one which was struck by the tenth-century author Abū 'l-Hasan 'Alī Mas'udī. In his Tanbīh Mas'ūdī asserts that the Egyptians were ancient Sabians, who honored as their prophets Hermes and Agathodaimon, the names occurring in the above mentioned account of al-Shahrastānī. These "Sabians of the Egyptians" were in fact the forebears of the "Sabians of the Harrānians."34 He viewed the Buddha as an ancient Sabian prophet of considerable influence in antiquity,³⁵ and regarded the ancient religions of China, Persia, Egypt, Greece and Rome as vestiges of the sābi' type of religion.³⁶ It was natural in the course of time for Muslim authors to extend the application of the term Sabian to cover not only communities from a far distant past, but also contemporary communities with which they themselves came in contact, including some in Africa.³⁷ Clearly, the term Sabian had by now proved to be the most meaningful and attractive nomenclature for comprehending foreign religiosities within what could still be considered a Our'anic world view.

While we have discussed thus far the application of the term sabi'un to groups outside the Jewish and Christian communities, we move, finally, to a category of Sabianism to which tenth-century Muslim authors as well as Christian sources make reference:

The Mughtasila "Sabians of the Marsh." An ethnographic note in the great encyclopaedic work Kitāb al-Fihrist, written 377/987, refers to a religious

³² Cf Lawrence's article mentioned in notes 20 and 30 above and, largely based on Lawrence, Waardenburg, in *Islam, Past Influence*, pp 253-54 (for other articles by Lawrence see p 271, notes 11 and 17) Of special interest are the sections *Kitāb al-milal*, pp 203-51, 444, Haarbruckers's trsl, II, 4-77, 355.

³³ Kıtāb al-mılal, 444-58, Haarbrucker's trsl, II, 354-76

³⁴ Pedersen, in *Oriental Studies Browne*, p 388 For Mas'ūdī's references to Agathodaimon and Hermes see Chwolsohn, *Die Ssabier*, II, 378–79, 624 (For his identification of Enoch-Idrīs and Hermes, see ibid, II, 621) Chwolsohn gives the Arabic text and his translation of a more extensive discussion of the Sabians by Mas'ūdī in ibid, II, 366–77

³⁵ For a comparison with Al-Bīrūnī's view see the latter's *Chronology*, pp 188–89 Cf A Jeffery, "Al-Bīrūnī's contribution to Comparative Religion," *Al-Bīrūnī's Commemoration Volume* (Calcutta, 1951), pp 147-48 and D Gimaret, "Bouddha et les Bouddhistes dans la tradition musulmane," *Journal Asiatique*, CCLVII (1969), 273-316

³⁶ See T Khalidi, *Islamic Historiography The Histories of Mas'ūdī* (Albany, NY[.] State University of New York Press, 1975), p 65, who notes that Mas'ūdī's use of the term originates from his belief in a universal star worship among the ancient nations See also Pedersen in *Oriental Studies Browne*, pp. 388–89

³⁷ See Y Marquet, "Sabēens et Ihwān al-Safā'," *StIsl*, XXIX (1966), 35-80 and XXV (1967), 77-109.

community situated in a swampy region traversed by the Euphrates in its lower course. These people were known locally to the Arabs as *al-mughtasila*, or "those who wash themselves." The author of the *Fihrist*, Ibn al-Nadīm, accordingly calls them *şābi'at al-bațā'iḥ*, "Sabians of the Marshes."

[The Mughtasıla:] These people live in great numbers in the regions of the swamps; they are the Ṣābians of the swamps. They profess that people are to wash themselves, and everything they eat. Their head is called . . . [al-Hasīh] and it is he who founded their religion. He maintains that the two principles of existence are the male and the female, and that the herbs belong to the male principle, whilst the mistletoe belong to the female, the trees being its roots. They have some detestable axioms that can only be called nonsense. [Dodge: "They have seven sayings, taking the form of fables."] He [al-Hasīh] had a disciple named Sham'ūn. They (the Mughtasila) agreed with the Manichaeans with regard to two principles, but otherwise their religions differ. Among them are some who worship the stars up to the present day.

Mānī's father Futtaq [Fātiq] visited a heathen temple. A voice told him to abstain from meat, wine, and marriage, and this was repeated several times. And when Futtaq had learnt this, he joined some people who lived in the regions of Dastumīsān, and who are called *al-Mughtasila*; and in those regions and the swampy districts the remnant of them live up to our days. And they embraced the creed that Futtaq was ordered to adopt.³⁸

Chwolsohn read the founder's name as "al-Hassayh"³⁹ rather than as "al-Hassih," but in either case any doubt as to the relationship between Elchasai and the Mughtasila had to be abandoned after the discovery in 1969 of an original Manichaean manuscript, the Kölner Codex.⁴⁰ This Greek parchment codex (dated fifth century A.D. on paleographic grounds), from the papyrus collection of the University of Cologne, is the smallest known manuscript from antiquity.⁴¹

³⁸ Pedersen, Oriental Studies Browne, pp 383-84 Cf Bayard Dodge, The Fihrist of al-Nadim A Tenth-Century Survey of Muslim Culture, 2 vols (New York Columbia Univ Press, 1970), II, 811, 773-74 For minor improvements and clarifications on Dodge's translation of the two passages see A Klijn and G Reinink, "Elchasai and Mani," Vigiliae Christianae, XXVIII (1974), 278-79 The tenthcentury Persian writer Hamza Isfahānī saw as the true Sabians those "living between the desert and the swamps," regarded to be heretical Christians See W Brandt, Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethics, s v "Mandaeans," VIII, 390, who observes "This opinion may have arisen from a fusion of vague reports about the Mandaeans and the Mughtasila" For the Arabic text of this passage and Chwolsohn's translation see Die Ssabier, II, 543-44 For Chwolsohn's translation of and his very extensive notes on Chapter One of the Ninth Book of the Fihrist see Die Ssabier, II, 1-52 (Arabic text and translation) and 53-365 (notes)

³⁹ Chwolsohn, *Die Ssabier*, II, 543-44 el-'Hasai'h; Dodge reads "al-Hasāh", Pedersen gives in this passage the name in Arabic characters only, but transliterates it as "el-Hasaih" in a preceding paragraph

⁴⁰ A Henrichs, "Mani and the Babylonian Baptists A Historical Confrontation," *Harvard Studies in Classical Philology*, LXXVII (1973), 44–45 Reservations are expressed by J Coyle, "The Cologne Mani-Codex and Mani's Christian Connections," *Eglise et Théologie*, X (1979), 179–93

⁴¹ R Frye, "The Cologne Greek Codex about Manı," *Ex Orbe Religionum Studia Geo Widengren Oblata* (Leiden E J Brill, 1972), I, 424-29 Coyle notes that L Koenen now favors Lycopolis as the

Probably from a grave in Oxyrhynchus, Egypt, the parchment had evidently served as an amulet. The text was rendered into Greek from a Syriac original, and bears the title *On the origin of his body*—where "body" (*sōmatos*) should be taken in the ecclesiological sense of St. Paul's *sōma Christoū.*⁴² This "history" of Mānī's Church is not only of unquestioned⁴³ importance for our knowledge of Manichaean origins, but also for our recovery of the Mughtasila, the "Sabians of the Marshes."

The Cologne Mānī Codex (CMC) provides the following details regarding those "baptizers" among whom Mānī had lived and from whom he later broke away. Located in the Sasanid province of Mesēne in southern Mesopotamia (the Mesēne/Maisān region was at the embouchure of the Euphrates), Mānī's baptists dwelled communally in isolated villages. Agriculture was the major source of livelihood, along with related kinds of physical labor. The CMC consists of "I"-narratives of Mānī, prefaced by formulae which are not only introductory, but which are ascribed to particular (and elsewhere-attested) Manichaean authorities as well.⁴⁴ These memoiresque literary sources are judged to have a high degree of historicity, although clearly hagiographic in nature.⁴⁵ In the CMC, Mānī tells us: "I was related through the upbringing of my body."⁴⁶ "If you accuse me concerning baptism, see, again I show you from your Law and from what had been revealed to your leaders that it is not necessary to baptize oneself. For this is shown by Alchasaios the founder of your Law."⁴⁷

The co-editor of the critical edition of the CMC, A. Henrichs, suggested eight major points of congruence between $M\bar{a}n\bar{i}$'s Elchasaites and the Elchasaites of other ancient reports, and also singled out elements in common with related baptist sects of Jewish-Christian origin.

1. Orientation toward sacramental piety. All baptist sects related external purifications to salvation. Observance of sacramental baptisms along with other ritual laws had both salvific and communal value. Such purifications renewed the spirit and though distinct from the Mosaic law they were in a sense a continuation of it. This explains why the CMC baptists could refer to their religion as "our law and that of the fathers in which we have been living since olden times."

2. Keeping of the Sabbath. Ancient sources inform us that the followers of Elchasai were obligated to observe the Jewish Sabbath. Evidence that the CMC Elchasaites did likewise hinges on one passage in the codex, and its

place of origin for this papyrus document; *Égl. et Theol*, X (1979), 182; A Henrichs, "The Cologne Mani Codex Reconsidered," *Harvard Studies in Classical Philology*, LXXXIII (1979) For further studies see the introduction in Cameron-Dewey, note 46 below (p 6)

⁴² Henrichs, H St Cl Phil, LXXVII (1973), 40

⁴³ Only certain hasty conclusions are questioned by Coyle

⁴⁴ Henrichs, H St Cl. Phil, LXXXII (1973), 47-55

⁴⁵ Ibid , 29-30; 42

⁴⁶ Ibid., 34 For a partial translation of the CMC, consult R Cameron and A Dewey, *The Cologne Mani Codex* (Missoula. Scholars Press, 1979)

⁴⁷ A Klijn and G Reinink, *Patristic Evidence for Jewish-Christian Sects* (Leiden E J Brill, 1973), p 255

interpretation. The CMC Mānī epitomizes the religion of the baptists as "the doctrines of those who are used to reading about purity, castigation of the flesh and the keeping of the resting of the hands." Mandaic texts, in explicitly anti-Jewish and anti-Christian passages, confirm the interpretation of "the resting of the hands" as Sabbath observances.

3. Baptisms/ablutions through immersion in running water. The Elchasaites are attacked by Mānī in severe criticisms which strike at the very heart of baptist life. Mānī criticizes the daily baths. Such lustrations were comparable to those performed by the Hemero-baptists as well as by Ebionite Christians. From Mānī's arguments we can infer that the CMC Elchasaites also practiced initiation by special sacramental baptism, again not unlike the Ebionites.

4. Celebration of the Eurcharist with unleavened bread and water. Quoting scriptural passages from Tatian's Diatessaron, Mānī takes to task the baptist practice of regulated preparation of bread for ritual purposes. Since Mānī in the CMC appeals to the Lord's supper as contradistinct to what was held by the Elchasaites, Henrichs infers that their celebration of the Eucharist was like that of the Ebionites, who partook of unleavened bread and pure water.

5. Baptists' rejection of portions of Scripture, including all writings of Paul. The CMC portrays only a single instance where the baptists drew from scripture. The occasion was an altercation with Mānī. Exactly which texts were invoked is uncertain. Henrichs argues that when challenged by Mānī on New Testament grounds, the baptists would in all likelihood have repudiated the authority of Mānī's proof-texts, especially those marshalled from St. Paul. Charging that parts of scripture were interpolations was, of course, an issue in Jewish-Christian polemic, Henrichs points out. The baptists in any event suspect Mānī of "going to the Greeks" or "to the Gentiles" apparently referring to his appeal to St. Paul; the wording that the latter was a "Greek" was a term of abuse in some Jewish-Christian polemics.

6. Encratism. The baptists of the Cologne Codex were confirmed vegetarians, as has been reported of the Ebionites. Henrichs speculates that baptist asceticism extended to continence in the CMC (on the basis of Ibn al-Nadīm's report), although he is aware that Elchasai encouraged marriage and that continence as an ideal was foreign to all other Judaic baptist groups. To account for Mānī's total abhorrence towards sexuality, Henrichs expects that, like Jesephus' Essenes, Mānī must have grown up in all-male environment.

7. The doctrine of the "True Prophet." Not everything that was baptist was rejected by Mānī, and the most striking instance of this perhaps is to be found in Mānī's prophetology, where there is some ideological carry over. Henrichs states:

The Pseudo-Clementines and Elchasai coincide in that they propagate the cyclic incarnation of the True Prophet. For Elchasai, however, the series of incarnations did not culminate in Christ, but included Elchasai and continued even beyond him. The Cologne Codex has a clear reference to this doctrine. Some of the baptists were so impressed by Mānī's performance as a theologian that they regarded him as the True Prophet and the incarnation of the Living Logos (CMC 86, 1-9). This doctrine, which lies at the root of Mānī's own conception of his apostleship as the

concluding stage in a series of incarnations, forms, in combination with the docetism of Marcion and Bardaisan, the basis of Mānī's christology.

8. Eschatological belief in the resurrection of the body. All the CMC baptists referred to the future resurrection of the body as the "resting of the garment," we are told. Such doctrine was widespread, though not universal, in various forms of Judaism and Jewish Christianity.⁴⁸

Henrichs considers these parallels between the new data of the CMC and ancient reports on the Elchasaites to be "overwhelming": "Henceforth, the fact that Mānī grew up with, and was influenced by, Jewish-Christian baptists must be reckoned with."⁴⁹ The tentatively suggested identification of the Sabians of the Marshes, the baptists in the CMC and the Elchasaites now needs further consideration.

Sabians of the Marshes—CMC baptists—Elchasaites

The *Fihrist* evidently equates the Mughtasila Sabians of the Marshes with Elchasaites, and the CMC equates Mānī's baptists with Elchasaites; but does any pre-Islamic source equate Elchasaites with Sabians, thus furnishing a third independent attestation to the validity of the equation?

A rare occurrence of what appears to be a form of the term "Sabian" in Christian sources prior to Islam is found in Hippolytus of Rome who, writing in A.D. 225, voiced this warning:

What was the recent arrival of the strange demon Elchasai and that he used as a concealment of his particular errors his apparent adhesion to the Law but in reality he devotes himself to the ideas of the Gnostics, or even those of astrologers and magicians.... When his doctrine had been spread about throughout the entire world, a cunning man and full of desperation, one called Alcibiades, living in Apamea, a city of Syria, examined carefully into his business. And considering himself a more formidable character more ingenious in tricks than Callistus, he went to Rome. He brought with him some book alleging that a certain righteous man, Elchasai, had received this from the Seres of Parthia. And that he gave it to one called *Sobiai*....⁵⁰

The word "Sobiai" bears resemblance to both Arabic and Mandaic terms for Sabians, and Macuch's observation in his *Handbook of Classical and Modern Mandaic* sufficiently illustrates the probability of a *Sobiai/sābi'a* association:

Observation of actual pronunciation corrected my view concerning this Glossary in many points. The frequent confusion of the emphatic s with the non-emphatic s does not go back—as Nöldeke thought—to the similarity of the Mandaic s to the Arabic s, but is . . . based on actual pronunciation . . . The Mandaeans themselves confuse the emphatics with their non-

⁴⁸ Henrichs, H.St. Cl. Phil., LXXII (1973), 47-55.

⁴⁹ Ibid., 55.

⁵⁰ Klijn and Reinink, Patristic Evidence, pp. 113-15.

emphatic counterparts. The letters which my Mandaean friends write to me are full of such confusions. They would often write even the word *subia* (Ar. *subbī*) with $s.^{51}$

Lady Drower, who studied the Mandaeans for many years in her field work, is of the opinion that the term *Subba* or *Sabba* is a colloquial form by which the Mandaeans refer to their principal cult, immersion, the more formal name of their religion and people being *Mandai.*⁵² The question arises whether Sobiai could be a reference to a sect rather than to a particular person. The probability that this is the case is strongly suggested by Klijn and Reinink who in their study of Hippolytus reached the conclusion: "We presume that Sobiai is not the name of a person, as Hippolytus suggests, but that of a group using this name to express the emphasis they laid on the importance of purification through baptism."⁵³ It is corroborative that the Cologne Mānī Codex gives the proper name for the baptist Elchasaites it describes as *Sabbaīos 'o Baptistēs!*⁵⁴

The relationship between the groups referred to in the reports of Ibn al-Nadīm, CMC and Hippolytus can ultimately only be determined by a study of their teachings. The historical integrity of each of these reports seems to us a primary concern, an issue not raised by Klijn and Reinink in their study comparing and contrasting these passages.⁵⁵ Summarily we would see in Ibn al-Nadīm the Muslim historiographical tendency to stereotype, while we clearly encounter hagiography in the CMC and hostile heresiography in Hippolytus. The discrepancies can easily be accounted for if one takes these distinct approaches seriously, for, as Stone once remarked, "the rush to compare the heresiologists' report to the insiders' self-description is useless. The two will never be the same."⁵⁶

Klijn and Reinink concede that Ibn al-Nadīm gives us a rather one-sided picture of Mughtasilan practices. This is obviously due to the nature of the description itself. We think, e.g., of the instruction given to Fātiq in the heathen temple: "O Fātiq, do not eat meat! Do not drink wine! Do not marry any human being!"⁵⁷ and the injunctions for entrance into Mānī's own cult and community, recorded several pages later: "He who would enter the cult must examine his

⁵¹ R. Macuch, *Handbook of Classical and Modern Mandaic* (Berlin: de Gruyter, 1965), p. 2. Chwolsohn, too, understood Sabiai as the personification of a sect, and discussed at some length the issue of the identification of the Mughtasila-Sobiai-Sabians-Elchasaites (see below)-Mandaeans; Die Ssabier, esp. I, 109ff. (121 on the identification Sobiai and Sabians).

⁵² Drower, The Mandaeans of Iran and Iraq, p. 1.

⁵³ Patristic Evidence, p. 55. Also of interest is the possibility that the Seres whom Hippolytus associates with the Elchasaites may have been a community with baptist tendencies. The description which the Pseudo-Clementine Recognitions gives of the Seres reflects at least a lack of sacrifices, and baptismal and sacrificial cults were usually antithetical to each other. Recognitions, 8:48; 9:19. The Ante-Nicene Fathers, VII, 179, 187.

⁵⁴ Ibid.

⁵⁵ See note 38 above.

⁵⁶ Recorded in *The Rediscovery of Gnosticism: Proceedings of the International Conference on Gnosticism at Yale... March 28-31, 1978,* ed. B. Layton (Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1981), II, 674.

⁵⁷ B. Dodge, "Mani and the Manichaeans," *Medieval and Middle Eastern Studies in honor of Aziz Suryal Atiya*, ed. S. Hanna (Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1972), p. 87.

soul If he finds that he can subdue lust and covetousness, refrain from eating meat, drinking wine, as well as from marriage, and if he can also avoid (causing) injury to water, fire, trees and living things, then let him enter the cult "58 Thus Ibn al-Nadīm tells us only of those practices which prototypify Mānī's later cultus and dualistic notions The comment Klijn and Reinink made on this point seems beyond challenge

These words show exactly the same instructions which Mani gave to the members of the sect according to the Kolner Codex This proves that the Kolner Codex and the *Fihrist* are essentially talking about the same sect They both show that Mani, while preserving some of the sect's practices, wished to reform its doctrine having a different view on the body and the material world ⁵⁹

The link between the Elchasaite Mughtasila and the pre-Manichaean Elchasaite baptists throws fresh light upon an early kind of Sabianism That the details do not fully tally with those of the Elchasaites of Hippolytus' description is not a negation of the new-found evidence The Elchasaite connection of Mānī's Babylonian baptists, as Henrichs suggests, may well be secondary and superimposed on an original Palestinian substratum, through the adoption of Elchasai's book of revelation ⁶⁰

As far as the identification of the Qur'anic Sabi'un are concerned, we are faced with one final issue Two rival claimants come to fore Mandaeans and Elchasaites ⁶¹ The question of the relationship between these two baptist groups

⁵⁸ Klijn and Reinink, "Elchasai and Mani," Vig Christ, XXVIII (1974), 278-79 Dodge, Fihrist p 778

^{59 &}quot;Elchasai and Mani," Vig Christ, XXVIII (1974), 285

⁶⁰ Henrichs, H St Cl Phil, LXXVII (1973), 55

⁶¹ Gatje, for example, declares with regard to the sabi²un "In the Qur'an itself the Mandaeans are no doubt intended", Qur'an, p 265 C de Vaux, on the other hand, states that the Sabians "have sometimes been identified with the Mandaeans or with the Elkasaites", Shorter Enc of Islam, p 477 For the views of Maimonides on Sabianism, see L Strauss's introductory essay to S Pines's translation of The Guide for the Perplexed (Chicago University of Chicago Press, 1963), pp xxxiv ff and Chwolsohn, Die Ssabier, I, 689-716, II, 451-91 The Persian Prophet Bahā'ullāh gives what would constitute the Bahā'i perspective Bahā'ullāh refers to ancient followers of John the Baptist who kept separate from Christianity and "who are even now still on the earth and are known as the Sābi'un" (tr D MacEoin from Asraru'l-Athār, IV, 233, World Order, X (Winter 1975-76), 11, see also MacEoin, World Order, X (Fall 1975), 3, where an almost identical passage from Bahā'u'llāh is given "After the martyrdom of the son of Zachariah [John the Baptist], some of his followers did not turn unto the divine Manifestation of Jesus, the Son of Mary, and removed themselves from the Faith of God, and until this day they have continued to exist in the world, being known to some as the Sabi'un These people consider themselves to be the community of John ", Qāmūs-ı-Iqān, II, 987 The closest analogue to this historical perspective within the Christian tradition would appear to be the following Ebionite Christian reminiscence "For the people was now divided into many parties, ever since the days of John the Baptist For when the rising of Christ was at hand for the abolition of sacrifices, and for the bestowal of the grace of baptism, the enemy wrought various schisms among people Yea, some even of the disciples of John, who seemed to be great ones, have separated from the people, and proclaimed their own master as the Christ", Recognitions, 1, 53-54 In this connection, there is a remarkable report by Conti the Maronite (1650) who asserts that a sect had migrated to Mergab in Lebanon from Galilee, around 150 years prior to his own time,

deserves renewed attention, and the following remarks of Henrichs seem very important in this connection:

It is much easier to regard the analogies between the Manichaean and Mandaean religions, which consist in parallels in the extant literatures rather than similarities in cult practices, as an independent heritage from common ancestors, namely, the Palestinian and Babylonian baptist movements which influenced Mānī and which helped to produce the Mandaeans (or proto-Mandaeans) as their last offshoot. The problem presented by the fact that Mandaean ritual provides the only parallel for two of the ritual practices with which the baptists are credited by Mani finds its easiest solution if explained on the same lines. The two rites which Mani's baptists share with the Mandaeans of the extant Mandaic documents are the purification of their food by ablutions with water and the ritual preparation and baking of their bread, most likely unleavened bread.... The first practice is comparable to the ritual cleaning of the Mandaean Tābūtā, the second survived in the Mandaean Pihtā, and Fatīrē, two different kinds of unleavened bread prepared for sacramental use.62

Mandaeans and Mānī's Elchasaites⁶³ dwelled in the marsh lands and delta of the lower Euphrates in southern Mesopotamia where they co-existed as two baptist movements both known as Sabian communions. Were these Sabians also within Arabia? Epiphanius reports that various Jewish-Christian sects, including the Elchasaites (whom he calls also Sampsaeans) are found in Arabia: "The Sampsaeans and the Elkasaites ... still live in Arabia.... In everything they are

which considered John the Baptist as it founder. The priests wore turbans and garments of camel's wool. Sacraments consisted of locusts and wild honey. The sect held Jesus to be a mere prophet who succeeded John. Days of assembly were Sunday and Thursday. The four annual feasts were the birth of John the Baptist; the commemoration of his baptism; the lamentation for the beheading of John; and a feast in honor of John's victory over a dragon. This report, however, is not confirmed by any ancient source. See Smith-Wace, *A Dictionary of Christian Biography* (New York: AMS reprint, 1967), s.v. "Sabians," p. 570.

For a critical evaluation of some Ismā'ilī identifications of the Sabians—among others by the tenth-century Abū Ya'qūb al-Sijistānī, who held them to have been disciples of Mānī, Bardesanes and Marcion, and by his contemporary Abū Hātim al-Rāzī, who identified them as Christians inclined toward Zoroastrianism—see S.M. Stern, *Studies in Early Ismā*'īlism (Jerusalem: The Hebrew University, 1983), pp. 33-34.

⁶² Henrichs, H.St.Cl.Phil., LXXVII (1973), 46. For the survival of Elchasaite/Ebionite True Prophet Christology in Manichaeism, see E. Rose, *Die manichäische Christologie* (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1979).

⁶³ See W. Sundermann, *Acta Orientalia*, XXXVI (1974), 129-30 and 148 who discusses a Manichaean fragment in Parthian dialect which mentions the date of Mani's first major revelation (539 Seleucid era – A.D. 228) on recto, and refers to Elchasai on verso; cf. Henrichs's notice of this independent witness in *H.St. Cl. Phil.*, LXXXIII (1979), 367.

similar to the Ebionites "⁶⁴ The question of their identity and history certainly deserves further research

Our study has shown that the issue of the apparent confusion among Muslim exegetes over the identity of the Sabians is resolved once the historical circumstances are grasped Exactly because it was imprecise, the word $s\bar{a}bi'\bar{u}n$ functioned as a term of great legal importance by contributing to an attitude of toleration towards minority religions under Muslim rule. The term evolved from a once-specific designation to a classification which, adapted to ever new historical contexts, expanded its meaning to embrace peoples of otherwise uncertain standing, giving them a place of security within a Qur'anically sanctioned framework

As far as the original Qur'anic $S\bar{a}bi'un$ are concerned, special attention was paid to the Cologne Mani Codex which confirms the assertion found in the *Fihrist* that Sabians were Elchasaites, an identification which may also be implied in Hippolytus On the basis of evidence to date it seems justifiable to reaffirm Chwolsohn's conclusion that the Qur'anic Sabians were persons known for their emphasis on ritual purifications, predominantly Mandaeans and Elchasaites⁶⁵

Vancouver, British Columbia

CHRISTOPHER BUCK

⁶⁴ Translation by Klijn and Reinink Patristic Evidence p 193 See also J Trimingham Christianity among the Arabs in Pre-Islamic Times (London Longman 1979) p 68

⁶⁵ For Hjarpe's summary of Chwolsohn's conclusion——"Mandaeans" as the first meaning of the term sabians—see above, note 7 which contains also a reference to Hjarpe's own rejection of Chwolsohn's thesis, following Pedersen (cf Pedersen, *Oriental Studies Browne* p 358 "The Mandaeans and the mugtasila are thus two different sects and the Elchasaeans are identical with neither of them")