ISSN: 1667-9202

CUADERNOS DEL CENTRO DE ESTUDIOS DE HISTORIA DEL ANTIGUO ORIENTE

ANTIGUO ORIENTE



2015

Pontificia Universidad Católica Argentina Facultad de Ciencias Sociales Centro de Estudios de Historia del Antiguo Oriente

Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires - Argentina

SUMARIO / INDEX

ANTIGUO ORIENTE 13 (2015)

COLABORACIONES / MAIN PAPERS

King Taita and his "Palistin": Philistine State or Neo-Hittite Kingdom? JEFFREY P. EMANUEL	11
SA ^c q "Enter," but How, and Where?: Data from the Coffin Texts CARLOS GRACIA ZAMACONA	41
Lead Isotope Analysis of Slag-Tempered Negev Highlands Pottery NAAMA YAHALOM-MACK, MARIO A. S. MARTIN, OFIR TIROSH, YIGAL EREL & ISRAEL FINKELSTEIN	83
The Origin and Evolution of the Saraph Symbol NISSIM AMZALLAG	99
Qohelet 11,1–6 or How to Survive in an Unsure World	107
FRANCESCO BIANCHI	127
Haggai Mazuz	149
Can I Have a Word?: Methods of Communication in Judges 6 JAIME L. WATERS	169
Narrow Base Dipper Juglets (NBDJ) Imported from the Syro-Lebanese Littoral to the Shephelah and the Coastal Plain of Israel	102
ELI YANNAI, AMIR GORZALCZANY & MARTIN PEILSTÖCKER La representación de las elites egipcias en las Admoniciones de Ipuwer	183
Pablo Martín Rosell	199
Reseñas bibliográficas / Book Reviews	
Nissim Amzallag, <i>Esau in Jerusalem: The Rise of a Seirite Religious Elite in Zion in the Persian Period</i> , 2015.	221
Por MAYER I. GRUBER Peter James & Peter G. van der Veen (eds.), Solomon and Shishak. Current Perspectives from Archaeology, Epigraphy, History and Chronology, 2015.	221
Por Juan Manuel Tebes	227
Política editorial e instrucciones para los colaboradores / Editorial policy and instructions for contributors	235
Direcciones para envío de artículos y reseñas bibliográficas / Addresses for articles and book reviews submissions	239
Colaboraciones en números anteriores / Past issues papers	241

Northern Arabia and its Jewry in Early Rabbinic Sources: More Than Meets the Eye

HAGGAI MAZUZ

hagaimazuz@gmail.com Annemarie Schimmel Kolleg Bonn, Germany

Abstract: Northern Arabia and its Jewry in Early Rabbinic Sources: More than Meets the Eye

Early Rabbinic textual comments on the Jews of Arabia are widely considered terse and general, leading to the assumption that they have little information to offer and prompting scholars to seek knowledge in other sources. The article confronts this conventional wisdom by citing Mishnaic, Talmudic, and Midrashic references to Arabian geography and settlements that yield important if not conclusive findings on points that have been inadequately discussed thus far.

Keywords: Hijāz - Hegger - Teima - Jews

Resumen: El norte de Arabia y su comunidad judía en las fuentes rabínicas tempranas: más allá de lo que parece a simple vista

Los primeros comentarios rabínicos sobre los judíos en Arabia son ampliamente considerados como concisos y generales, llevando a la suposición de que tienen escasa información para ofrecer y motivando así a los investigadores a buscar información en otras fuentes. El presente artículo confronta esta opinión convencional, mediante la cita de referencias misnaicas, talmúdicas y midrásicas sobre la geografía de Arabia y sus asentamientos, las cuales dan lugar a hallazgos importantes, si no concluyentes, acerca de temas que han sido discutidos inadecuadamente hasta el momento.

Palabras clave: Hejaz – Hegger – Teima – Judíos

Article received: May 31st 2015; approved: January 29th 2016.

INTRODUCTION

When the Jews of Arabia are discussed, the question of sources that one may use to study the subject arises. The material falls into two main types: textual and epigraphic. The former comprises, *inter alia*, post-Biblical Jewish sources such as the Mishna, the Talmuds, and the early Midrashim. Their references to the Jews of Arabia are few, terse, and mostly general—so it seems leading to the assumption that they have little to offer by way of information. As Goitein puts it, "The Talmudic literature offers important testimony on the great Arabian migration of which the victory of Islam is merely the most salient eruption, but is poor in information about Arabian Jewry."¹

What can we learn about Arabian Jewry from early Rabbinic literature such as the Mishna, the Talmuds, and the Midrashim? To pursue such a discussion, one must first consult the sources on the Jewish communities of Arabia and establish the boundaries of "Arabia" as precisely as possible. As I show below, this territory includes the Hijāz and the references to this area in these sources, although few in number, contain important information that research has not extracted thus far, mainly about the religious life of the Jews in this area. They also show that although there were many proselytes among these Jews, their culture—at least in al-Hijr (also known as Madā'in Ṣāliḥ) and Taymā'—was quite high, and they had contact with Jewish communities outside Arabia.

ROMAN ARABIA: DOES IT INCLUDE THE HIJĀZ?

Before discussing the meaning of Arabia (ערביא) in Rabbinic sources, one must address oneself to Roman Arabia, since it is likely that the Jews under Roman rule in the Land of Israel in Talmudic times were familiar with that term and, more or less, with the borders of the area that it denoted. The term "Arabia" was originally used by Greek and Roman geographers; thus, it was probably borrowed by Jews, given that the Bible calls the land of the Arabs 'Arav (ערבי, e.g., Is. 21:13; Jer. 25:23–24). The Biblical references to Arabia plainly refer to northern Arabia because they mention Dedan and Teima.

In the early twentieth century, after Jaussen and Savignac's Mission archéologique en Arabie, scholars assumed that the Hijāz was not part of

¹Goitein 1931: 411. Antiguo Oriente, volumen 13, 2015, pp. 149–168. Roman Arabia. Three decades later, this premise was challenged by Seyrig on the basis of his discoveries of Roman outposts on the road to Medina.² Consequently, scholars almost completely abandoned Jaussen and Savignac's view³—with one exception⁴—and research after Seyrig reinforced his stance.⁵

In view of this broad consensus, it would not be unreasonable to claim that Arabia in Rabbinic sources refers, *inter alia*, to the Hijāz as well. The consensus regarding the territory of Roman Arabia is crucial to the discussion about the information on the Jews of northern Arabia, mainly regarding those in Hegger/Hagrā, that emerges several times from Rabbinic sources. Now that this matter has been clarified among scholars, the Rabbinic literature can teach us more about the Jews of northern Arabia than is known today.

HEGGER AND HAGRĀ

Early Rabbinic sources mention Hegger and Hagrā (in three variations) several times. Most opinions in academic literature refer to Hagrā but not to Hegger, although both names denote the same place.⁶ They bring to mind al-Hijr in northern Arabia. Indeed, the academic discussion of Hegger and Hagrā in its variations favors their identification as al-Hijr. Those who argue to the contrary do not explain the rationale behind their stance. Judging by the publication dates of their works, they apparently follow Jaussen and Savignac and predate Seyrig, whose opinion has become the common one among scholars.

Below I discuss four references to Hegger and Hagrā in Rabbinic sources. The discussion will be broader than the previous treatment of these sources; it will offer new insights, strengthen the identification with al-Hijr, and challenge those who deny the identification of these place names.

(A) Ha-Hegger (החגר): Mishna, *Gitțīn* 1:1 reads: "He who brings a bill of divorce from abroad must say: In my presence it was written and in my presence it was signed. R. Gamaliel says: also he who brings one from ha-Reqem or from ha-Hegger [...]." Klein claims that Hegger (and Hagrā) is in the east-

² Seyrig 1941: 218–223.

³E.g., Sartre 1981; Bowersock 1983: 95–97, 103, 157.

⁴ Graf 1988.

⁵ For a review of works that support Seyrig's findings, see Graf 1988: 172–173. See also, Bowersock 1983: 97.

⁶ Cf. Goodblatt 1995: 16, 18, 21, 24.

⁷ See also, J.T. *Gițțīn* 1:1 (1:1); BT, *Gițțīn* 2a.

ern part of Trachonitis.⁸ He misidentifies Hegger because he also misidentifies Reqem. While Josephus, a Jew who lived in the first century CE under Roman rule, explicitly claims that Reqem is Petra,⁹ Klein identifies it as al-Raqīm, drawing on the finding of the Muslim geographer al-Muqaddasī, who lived about a millennium later (*ca.* 946 CE–*ca.* 1000 CE), that there is a location of this name near Damascus.¹⁰ Klein even ignores a Jewish contemporary of Josephus in the Land of Israel, Onkelos, who renders Qadesh (in the Negev) as Reqem in his translations of Gen. 16:14 and Gen. 20:1. Since the two places are mentioned in proximity in the Mishna, Klein claims, they must be close. To identify Hegger, he also relies on Wetzstein, according to whom the 'Anzī tribes call two tribes east of Damascus *Ahl al-Hujr*.¹¹ Ben Ze'ev criticizes Klein for his view because Mishna, *Gițtīn* 1:2 reads: "From Reqem eastward and Reqem as [part of] the east." Thus, he argues, one should search for Hegger in the northern Hijāz; on this basis, he identifies Hagrā as al-Hijr.¹²

Mazar, basing himself on the definite article that precedes the word "Hegger" in the Mishna, argues that the term denotes not a settlement but the *limes Palaestinae*, a series of Roman fortifications along the southern border of the Land of Israel.¹³ The root *h.g./j.r.* in Semitic languages, he adds, denotes a circumference, a wall, or a fence; thus, Hegger is a geographical region or a string of fortified localities. It may therefore be, according to Mazar, that Hagrā of Arabia is the fortified area of *Provincia Arabia, i.e.*, the *limes Palaestinae*. Interestingly, while Mazar suggests this, he opines that Hagrā in Nabataean inscriptions is al-Hijr.¹⁴ By implication, according to his view, Hagrā in Rabbinic sources is not the Nabataean Hagrā—an argument that has nothing on which to rely. Mazar's opinion is accepted by Avi-Yonah.¹⁵ Similarly, Bar-Ilan claims that ha-Hegger denotes a desert area beyond the southern border of the Land of Israel, where there were stockade fortifica-

⁸Klein 1928: 206–207. Cf. Klein 1939b 1: 43, 161.

⁹Thackeray 1926: 553 (IV: vii. 1).

¹³ The first to suggest this idea, albeit very briefly, was Krauss (1899: 2: 253), whose view will be mentioned below in the discussion of Hagrā.

¹⁴ Mazar 1949: 317. Cf. Rappel 1984: 83.

¹⁵ Avi-Yonah 1974: 2:88 (map no. 135).

¹⁰ Klein 1929: 21-22.

¹¹ Klein 1928: 206; Klein 1929: 21–22.

¹² Ben Ze'ev 1931: 19, 25.

tions.¹⁶ Albeck notes, similarly but somewhat equivocally, that ha-Hegger is "apparently in the southern border of the Land of Israel."¹⁷

Another scholar who follows Mazar is Davies: "It seems probable," he says, that Hegger, as Mazar goes on to suggest, came also to have the collective sense of "a line of forts" and was applied to *limes Palaestinae*, which extended from Rafah on the Mediterranean coast to the Dead Sea. It is legitimate, Davies continues, to suppose that the Hagrā of the Targumim has no connection with Hegra in Arabia, but is instead a toponym that relates to the region south of the Land of Israel. Davies notes that while the inscriptions from al-Hijr clearly points to the presence of Jews, Mishna, *Gittīn* 1:1 probably relates to a region closer to the Land of Israel.¹⁸

Goodblatt criticizes Mazar and Bar-Ilan on several grounds: (1) Even if one accepts the meaning of Hegger as a fort, one cannot possibly know that ha-Hegger is a series of fortifications or a fortified border. After all, it was not unusual in the Mishnaic era to preface names of cities with the definite article. (2) According to the current broad scholarly consensus, the limes Palaestinae was built 200 years after R. Gamaliel's lifetime (late first century CE-second century CE) and some say that there was never a system of fortifications along the southern border of the Land of Israel in the Roman period. Thus, ha-Hegger cannot be the limes Palaestinae and one should search a specific settlement that carries the name ha-Hegger. (3) Al-Hijr prospered under Nabataean rule, mainly in the first century CE, close to R. Gamaliel's lifetime, and was more famous than any other Hegger. Thus, it is very likely that R. Gamaliel would mention famous places as al-Hijr.¹⁹ (4) Inscriptions from the first century CE show that Jews lived in al-Hijr at that time; there is also evidence that they continued to do so until the eve of Islam. Eventually, Goodblatt concludes that ha-Hegger is al-Hijr.²⁰

(B) Hagrā (הגרא): BT, Yevamōt 116a tells of a man named 'Anan bar Hiyyā from Hagrā, who spent some time in Nehardea. The text does not specify what 'Anan's purpose in Nehardea was; it mentions him only in regard to the bill of divorce that he sent his wife. Krauss claims that the word Hagrā is actually a corruption of Haqrā, a fort.²¹ His opinion recurs in Mazar's argument,

¹⁶ Bar-Ilan 1991: 107 n.28.

¹⁷ Albeck 1958: 273.

¹⁸ Davies 1972: 157–158, 159 n.1.

¹⁹ Cf. Hirschberg 2007: 2:294.

²⁰ Goodblatt 1995: 17–18, 20–21, 24.

²¹ Krauss 1899: 2:253.

which, as we have seen, is futile. Goodblatt, who identifies ha-Hegger as al-Hijr, states that the location of Hagrā in BT, *Yevamōt* 116a is not clear.²² Obermeyer suggests that Hagrā is actually an abbreviation of Hagrunia (הגרוניא), a suburb of Nehardea²³ and Davies argues—on the basis of the context, which describes an incident in Babylonia—that Obermeyer's proposal of Hagrunia seems more likely than a reference to al-Hijr.²⁴ Oppenheimer notes that Obermeyer may be right.²⁵

The identification of Hagrā in BT, *Yevamōt* 116a as Hagrunia, however, is groundless because the Talmud mentions this suburb specifically and by name in several places. It seems to have been the home of no few sages, such as R. El'azar ben Hagrunia (BT, *'Eirūvīn* 63a; *Bavā Mesī'ā* 69a; *Ta'anīt* 24b), Avīmī of Hagrunia (BT, *Bavā Batrā* 174b; *Bavā Mesī'ā* 77b, 97a; *Ketūbōt* 109b; *Makkōt* 13b; *Yevamōt* 64b), Samuel bar Abbā (BT, *Bavā Qammā* 88a), R. Hilqiah (BT, *Hōrayōt* 8a; *Yevamōt* 9a), R. Yehuda (BT, *'Avōda Zara* 39a); R. Ashī (BT, *Sōta* 46b) and R. Shīmī bar Ashī (BT, *Berakhōt* 31a).²⁶ In addition, Rabbah bar bar Hannā mentions the Tower of Hagrunia as a metaphor for something huge (BT, *Bavā Batrā* 73b).²⁷

Goitein considers it unlikely that 'Anan had come from al-Hijr, noting that several places bear the name Hagrā but offering no examples. Since Goitein states *ad loc*. that he consulted with Klein on a related issue,²⁸ it would be within the bounds of reason to argue that he followed his view regarding Hagrā. Hirschberg notes that although several places are called Hagrā, some references to them—he gives BT, *Yevamōt* 116a, as an example—undoubtedly refer to al-Hijr. He adds that al-Hijr was an important center in the first century BCE and therefore was known in the Land of Israel and Babylonia.²⁹

- 24 Davies 1972: 159 n.1.
- ²⁵ Oppenheimer 1983: 138.
- ²⁶ Idem.: 134–140.

²⁷ The name Hagrunia may be a diminutive for Hegra, the Graeco-Roman version of al-Hijr, akin to "Little Hagrā," possibly indicating that Jews originally from Hagrā lived there and plausibly explaining the purpose of 'Anan bar Hiyyā's stay in the vicinity of Nehardea. The presence of a man from Hagrā in Nehardea suggests that the Jews of these communities had some form of relationship, by kinship or other. If this is the case, the Jews of northern Arabia were not disconnected from Jewish communities outside Arabia. The person who reported the news of 'Anan's stay in Nehardea presumably knew to identify him as someone from Hagrā and thought it worth mentioning.

²⁸ Goitein 1931: 411 n.7.

²⁹ Hirschberg 2007: 2:294.

²² Goodblatt 1995: 20.

²³ Obermeyer 1929: 266.

Most scholars who discuss the subject indeed appear to identify Hagrā as al-Hijr. Horovitz, for example, considers 'Anan a "native" of al-Hijr.³⁰ A series of scholars in different disciplines also identify Hagrā as al-Hijr; examples are Abel, Ben Zvi, Naveh, Preis, and Friedheim.³¹

(C) Hagrā of Arabia (הגרא דערביא): On several occasions, some major sages insert into their exegetics non-Hebrew words that were used in Arabia. For example, Zeph. 1:17 reads: "And I will bring distress upon men, that they shall walk like blind men, because they have sinned against the Lord: and their blood shall be poured out as dust, and their *lehumam* (לְחָמָם) as the dung."³² R. Isaac interprets the verse as speaking about the Israelites who were killed pursuant to the sin of the golden calf and notes that their flesh was "tossed aside like dung." R. Levi supports this explanation by noting, "In Arabia they call meat *lahmā*" (בערביא קורין לבשרא, לחמא).³³ Given that *lahm* in Arabic means meat, R. Levi's recourse to the vernacular of Arabia for support seems precise and reliable. This example and several others led some scholars, such as Cohen, to assume that a Jewish colony had settled in northern Arabia in the Talmudic era.³⁴

One might get the impression that these sources refer to northern Arabia. Hoyland states: "Since these statements mostly originate with Palestinian authorities (*tannaim* and *amoraim*) of the first to fourth centuries CE, we might suppose that they chiefly intend to southern Palestine and the Transjordan, that is, the Nabataean heartlands and subsequently, after their annexation in 105/106 CE, the Roman province of Arabia." Thus, he adds in regard to the presentation of such sources in support of the Talmudic references to Arabia, "One suspects that that part of Arabia just across the Jordan from Jerusalem is meant rather than faraway Hijaz [*sic*]." Still, Hoyland admits that in some Rabbinic references to Arabia, "It cannot be doubted that occasionally the southern-most reaches of Nabataea/Roman Arabia are intended." As an example, he mentions the visit by R. Hiyyā, R. Shim on bar Halaftā, R. Shim on, and Rabbah to "Hagrā of Arabia" to discover the meaning of several Aramaic words that they had forgotten (Genesis Rabbah

³⁰ Horovitz 1929: 170.

³¹Abel 1938: 2:436; Ben Zvi 1960: 134; Naveh 1978: 181; Preis, 1977: 122; Friedheim 2000: 170 n.24.

³² Translation taken from *The King James Bible*.

³³ Exodus Rabbah, 42:4. See further, Cohen 1912; Krauss 1916.

³⁴ *Idem*.: 224.

79:7).³⁵ Oppenheimer also places Hagrā of Arabia in northern Arabia;³⁶ Davies admits to uncertainty but notes that Hagrā of Arabia may be al-Hijr.³⁷ While most scholars point in the direction of al-Hijr, Klein, based on his misidentification of Reqem, argues that this is not so.³⁸

R. Hiyyā, R. Shim 'ōn bar Halaftā, R. Shim 'ōn, and Rabbah journey as far as Hagrā of Arabia to rediscover the meaning of words that "they had forgotten from the Targum." The source of this information, however, does not specify which Targum it was. Although much of Genesis Rabbah is in Aramaic, they seem to have come to learn the meaning of words in Hebrew. The text reports that a resident of the place told his friend, "Hang these *yahavā* on me" (תלי הדין יהבא עלי); from the context, they deduced the meaning of *yahav* ("קלי ה' יהבך והוא 'cford the sages learned the meanings of other words from listening to conversations there.

If so, these sages viewed the inhabitants of Hagrā of Arabia (some of whom were probably Jewish) as having preserved the authentic meaning of Biblical words, at a time when sages in the Land of Israel struggled to understand Biblical texts in their original language. Therefore, when a Jewish source states that "in Arabia they refer to such-and-such as so-and-so," it is very likely that it does refer to the Hijāz, where, as stated, Jews cognizant of Hebrew dwelled.³⁹ This insight is of immense importance for our discussion; it shows that the references to northern Arabia in early Rabbinic sources are not as few as is widely assumed.

BT, *Ro'sh ha-Shana* 26b and BT, *Megīlla* remark that the sages did not understand Ps. 55:23 because they found it difficult to explain the word *yahav*. Rabbah bar bar Hannā solved the problem with an anecdote: "One day I walked with one *Tayya 'ā* while carrying a burden and he told me take *yahavkha* and throw it onto my camel" (,הוה דרינא טויעא, ואמר לי שקול יהבך ושדי אגמלאי יומא הד הוה אזלינא בהדי ההוא טייעא, ואמר לי שקול יהבך ושדי אגמלאי in the Talmud refers to Bedouin, especially those in the vicinity of Iraq, and is a generic term for Arabs in Syriac sources.⁴⁰ It is not clear, however, where

- ³⁶ Oppenheimer 1993: 21.
- 37 Davies 1972: 158 n.3.

³⁹ A recent work suggests that the Jews of northern Arabia used Judaeo-Arabic as early as the fourth century CE and that the earliest examples of the Arabic language were written in the Hebrew alphabet by Arabian Jews. See Hopkins 2009.
⁴⁰ Shahîd 2000; 402.

Shund 2000. 102.

³⁵ Hoyland 2011: 92.

³⁸ Klein 1929: 23.

Rabbah bar bar Hannā's epiphany had taken place.⁴¹ Still, the sages again used the Arabs' language (the identity of the language not being clear) to explain a Biblical word. This raises an important question: why would a major sage such as Rabbah bar bar Hannā need to learn the meaning of a word in Hebrew from a non-Jew? The question remains moot.

In Arabic sources, the *Tayya* 'ey are known as the Banū Tayyi', a large tribe originally from northern Arabia that was one of the first Arab tribes to reach the Land of Israel. Eventually, it split into several branches that still exist today. Interestingly, Islamic sources state that one of the Banū Tayyi' married a woman from the Banū al-Nadīr, one of the Jewish tribes in Medina, and their son Ka'b b. al-Ashraf was one the leaders of the tribe.⁴² It is very likely that his father converted to Judaism.⁴³ Was the *Tayya* 'ā from whom Rabbah bar bar Hannā learned the meaning of *yahav* a convert? There is no telling, but such a hypothesis would explain why he would trust the man's explanation. When one takes into account the large extent of conversion to Judaism among pre-Islamic Arab tribes, it seems quite likely.⁴⁴

(D) Hegra (הגרה): Ḥagrā is also mentioned in Num. Rabbah 13:2, this time in its Greek version, Hegra. The text reads:

An alternative [interpretation]: "Awaken O North" [Songs. 4:16] shows that the winds will be jealous of each other. The southerly wind says: I bring the exile from Yemen and the exile from Hegra and all of the south, and the northerly wind says: I bring the northern exile. The Omnipresent ordains peace between them and they enter through one entrance, to fulfill what is written: "I will say to the north, Give up; and to the south, Keep not back: bring my sons from far etc. [Is. 43:6]."

⁴¹ It seems that Rabbah bar Hannā had many encounters with *Tayya ey* in different situations. See further, Baer 2007; Kiperwasser 2008.

42 Ibn Hishām 1987: 3:12-17.

⁴³ On Arabs converting to Judaism due to their marriage with Jewish women, see Lecker 1987: 17–18; Mazuz 2014: 44–45.

⁴⁴ For a survey of primary sources from all Abrahamic faiths on the extent of proselytism in Arabia, as well as secondary sources on the subject, see Gil, 1984; 1997 1:3–19; 2004: 3–19. See further, Ben Ze'ev 1931: 29–31; Lecker 1995; Tobi 2012: 22, 26–27; Robin 2013.

The text offers several insights. First, Hegra is not within the borders of the Land of Israel; it is south of the country. Thus, any attempt to locate it at the north of the Land of Israel is futile. Secondly, the text is a Midrash on Is. 43:6 and Songs. 4:16 that speaks of the end of the exile and the ingathering of Israel from the Diaspora. It describes Yemen as the southernmost point of the exile and then refers to Hegra and then all of the south, meaning that Hegra cannot be anywhere close to the border of the Land of Israel. Accordingly, it must be located between Yemen and the territories to the south of the Land of Israel, more or less corresponding to al-Hijr. Therefore, it is to this place that the text refers. Thirdly, it suggests that in the eyes of the Midrash there was a Jewish settlement in Hegra.⁴⁵

Apart from the confusion regarding Hagrā and the mistakes in making deductions about it, some scholars have overlooked several points of relevance to the discussion: (1) The academic literature has not pinpointed the location of Hagrā in Rabbinic sources thus far; even Klein's suggestion is vague. (2) Ha-Hegger is actually the Hebrew rendering of al-Hijr. (3) The definite article preceding the word Hegger in the Mishna suggests that this is a generic name for several settled localities. Al-Hijr is also known as Madā'in Şāliḥ, *i.e.*, the cities of Ṣāliḥ, a Qur'ānic figure, meaning that it was not only one settlement but many. (4) The Arabic root *h.j.r.* indeed denotes prevention/obstruction, but walls and fortifications were not unusual in Arabia. Islamic sources describe forts such as al-Ablaq of the Jewish king of Taymā' al-Samaw'al b. 'Ādiyā and of the Jews of Medina and Khaybar.⁴⁶ (5) Reqem has been identified as Petra, the famous "twin" of al-Hijr among the Nabataean settlements. Thus, when Hegger is mentioned in proximity to Reqem, it is very likely that it is indeed al-Hijr.

TEIMA

Hoyland argues: "The only contender for a rabbi from the north Arabian Peninsula (as opposed to the Roman province of Arabia and Iranian province

⁴⁵ This argument is supported by epigraphic evidence from al-Hijr, such as Jewish inscriptions dating back to the first century CE. See *CIS II/I*: 257 (no. 219); Jaussen and Savignac 1909: 148–149 (Nab. no. 4), 242 (Nab. no. 172 *bis*); Horovitz 1929: 170–171; *CII* 2:344 (no. 1422); Altheim and Stiehl 1968: 305–310, 500–501; Stiehl 1970; Hirschberg 1975: 144–147; Noja 1979: 289–293; Healey 1989; Graf 2001: 268; Hoyland 2011: 93–97, 99; Robin 2014: 58. These findings, although few, argue in support of a strong Jewish presence there. (One should not expect to find many written findings, since oral transmission was the norm at that time and in that culture. See Macdonald 2010).

⁴⁶ See Hirschberg 1946: 184–186; Tobi 2012: 35–37.

of Beth Arabaye) is a certain Simeon the Temanite/Taymanite (championed by Torrey, *Jewish Foundation*, "lecture 2"), though even this is unsure inasmuch as the adjective could refer to the Edomite city (or district) of Teman (Petra area)."⁴⁷ Klein traces Shim 'ōn's provenance to Timnah.⁴⁸ Shim 'ōn ha-Teimanī/Taymanī/Tīmnī (שמעון התימני) is a Tanna who lived between the first and second centuries CE. His name suggests that he is from Yemen (תימן), Teima (תמנע), Timnah (תמנה) in the Judean foothills, or Timna' (תימני) in the southern 'Arabah. Horovitz claims that he was "probably a native of Teima."⁴⁹ Three Nabataean inscriptions may support this view, since they use a term that resembles Teimanī/Taymanī/Tīmnī to denote people from Teima—Teimaniyā/Taymaniyā/Tīmniyā (תימניא) in reference to a man from this location and Teimanītā/Taymanitā/Tīmnītā (תימניתא) in regard to a woman from there.⁵⁰

While it is possible to debate Shim ʿōn ha-Teimanī/Taymanī/Tīmnī's origin, there are two Tannaim who definitely come from the Ḥijāz: The first is Yehuda ben Teima,⁵¹ "son of Teima," a place that had a strong Jewish presence in the Talmudic period.⁵² The second is Yehuda ben Ḥagrā,⁵³ "son of Ḥagrā," which, as we have seen, is al-Ḥijr. Teima and Ḥagrā are proximate settlements in northern Arabia. This may suggest that a number of Jewish sages was present in that area. Support for this comes from an inscription from al-ʿUlā that states: "Blessing to ʿAṭūr son of Menahem and Rabbi Yirmiah" (ברכה לעטור בר מנחם ורב ירמיה).⁵⁴ Given that four major sages such as R. Ḥiyyā, R. Shimʿōn bar Ḥalaftā, R. Shimʿōn, and Rabbah took the trouble of traveling to that vicinity to learn the meaning of Biblical words from the locals, it definitely seems possible.

⁴⁷ Hoyland 2011: 111 n.48.

⁵² See Hirschberg 1946: 134–135; Altheim and Stiehl 1968: 305–310, 500–501; Stiehl 1970; Hirschberg 1975: 146–147; Noja 1979: 291–293; Chiesa 1994a: 167–168, 193–194; 1994b: 167–168, 195; Al-Najem and Macdonald 2009; Tobi 2012: 35; Robin 2014: 58.

53 JT, Pe'ah 24a (4:7); JT, Ketūbōt 4b (1:3).

⁵⁴ Winnett and Reed 1970: 163 (by J.T. Milik). In an inscription from Teima published by Altheim and Stiehl (1968: 310), the word hb[r'], appears. Both scholars assume that the term

⁴⁸ Klein 1939b 1:157.

⁴⁹ Horovitz 1929: 172.

⁵⁰Doughty 1884: 47 (no. 13); Euting 1885: 33 (no. 4), 40 (no. 8), 63–64 (no. 22); *CIS II/I*: 227–228 (no. 199), 235–236 (no. 205); Jaussen and Savignac 1909: 141 (Nab. no. 1), 162–163 (Nab. no. 12).

⁵¹ E.g., Mishna, Avot 5:18; JT, 'Eirūvīn 13a (1:10); JT, Mo 'ed Qatan 18a (3:7).

The Hebrew word *ben* (and its Aramaic cognate, *bar*) denotes not only the son of a father but also the son of a birthplace. The Mishna (*'Eduyyōt* 7:8–9), for example, mentions Mehahem ben Sagnā, Sagnā being a city in the Galilee;⁵⁵ Yōḥanan ben Gudgoda hailed from an eponymous location mentioned in Deut. 10:6–7, near Yotvata. The aforementioned R. El'azar ben Hagrunia (BT, *'Eiruvīn* 63a; *Bavā Mesī 'ā* 69a; *Ta 'anīt* 24b) is another case in point. An inscription from al-'Ulā, apparently from the fourth century CE, mentions 'Abday bar Teima (אבדי בר תימא), *i.e.*, 'Abday of Teima.⁵⁶ A related locution is *ben ha-maqōm*, a son of the place, *i.e.*, a local person. This use also occurs in the plural, as in *bnei Yerushalaim*, sons of Jerusalem.

ARAB PROSELYTES

Yehuda ben Hagrā is mentioned only twice in the Talmud. One reference appears in a discussion about whether a proselyte must observe the commandment of *leqet*,⁵⁷ in which the poor are allowed to glean grain that drops in the course of a harvest. Many references to Yehuda ben Teima occur in the context of laws pertaining to divorce outside the Land of Israel.⁵⁸ Such information suggests that these sages had to solve situations related to these subjects, *i.e.*, that Yehuda ben Hagrā had converts in his milieu and that Yehuda ben Teima was asked about divorce laws outside the Land of Israel, probably by Jews from the Diaspora, perhaps from Teima, or by Jews from the Land of Israel who married them.

Interestingly, two reports about the Banū Balī from Islamic sources support the hypothesis that Yehuda ben Ḥagrā had converts in his milieu. According to the Muslim geographer Yāqūt (1179–1229 CE), one branch of the Balī lived in al-Ḥijr.⁵⁹ This information is important because the members of this branch may have been proselytes. This possibility is based on the writings of another Muslim geographer, al-Bakrī (d. 1094 CE), according to

denotes a *haver*, a Jewish term that denotes a Pharisee, a believer in the oral tradition and a Jewish sage. Noja (1979: 296) disagrees. On the term *habr*, see further, Nehmé 2005–2006: 197–198; Mazuz 2014: 21–23.

⁵⁵ See further, Klein 1939a: 231–232.

⁵⁶ Euting 1885: 71 (no. 30); CIS II/I: 298 (no. 333); Huber 1891: 395 (no. 5).

⁵⁷ JT, Pe'ah 24a (4:7).

⁵⁸ Tōseftā, Gittīn 5:13; JT, Nazīr 7a (2:4); BT, Bavā Mesī a 94a; BT, Gittīn 84a.

⁵⁹ Yāqūt 1990: 4:81.

which many of the Balī converted to Judaism when they reached Taymā' because the Jews there had made this a condition for their settlement there.⁶⁰ The Jewish presence in Taymā' was so dominant that Islamic sources called it "Taymā' of the Jew" (تيماء اليهودي).⁶¹ Given the proximity of these settlements, those of the Balī who settled in al-Ḥijr, another locality with a strong Jewish presence, may have converted too.

Let us return to Mishna $Gitt\bar{tn}$ 1:1, which treats a person who delivers a bill of divorce from ha-Reqem and ha-Hegger as one who has delivered it from overseas; *i.e.*, he must declare that it had been written and signed before him. This wording demonstrates that Jewish settlement existed at that time at least as far as ha-Hegger, *i.e.*, al-Hijr. Theoretically, it is also possible that some Jews from the Land of Israel were married to inhabitants of ha-Reqem and ha-Hegger; this might explain why a bill of divorce would be sent from there. But why must a courier who delivers such a document from ha-Reqem and ha-Hegger declare that it had been written and signed before him? The apparent answer is that some people in Reqem (and therefore, most likely, also in Hegger) were proselytes and thus were not well versed in the laws. The Mishna (*Nīdda* 7:3) implies as much: "All stains from Reqem are pure and R. Yehuda pronounces them impure because they are proselytes and mistaken."

Jews in the Land of Israel and those in Hegger/Hagrā appear to have maintained a bilateral relationship: the former learned the meaning of Biblical words from the latter; the latter consulted them on bills of divorce and, perhaps, menstrual laws, two highly sensitive areas of *Halakha*. Such relations may support the view that the Jews of northern Arabia absorbed teachings from the Land of Israel and were Talmudic.⁶² These findings challenge arguments about shallow Jewish culture due to the absence of contact with Jewish communities outside Arabia and proselyte background, although proselytes were common in northern Arabia.

CONCLUSION

The concept of Arabia in Rabbinic sources includes the Hijāz. This alone allows us to broaden the use of Jewish sources to gain insights into north Arabian Jewry. The discussion focused on references to al-Hijr and Taymā' in

⁶⁰ al-Bakrī 1876–1877: 1:21. See further, Hirschberg 1946: 116–117.

⁶¹ E.g., Yāqūt 1990: 2:78.

⁶² See Kister and Kister 1980; Mazuz 2014.

the Mishna, the Talmuds, and the Midrashim. The investigation of this material showed that it accommodates more than was known so far about the north Arabian Jews' religious life and their relations with religious authorities in the Land of Israel, irrespective of the extent of proselytism among them.

This discussion addressed only several references to north Arabian Jews in Rabbinic sources. The potential of the material there and the insights that may be produced from them are far from being exploited. Scholars should accept the challenge and develop a new and extensive discussion on the Rabbinic material and even offer new methodologies for analyzing it.⁶³ By investigating all the information that appears in the Rabbinic literature and reading the sources closely, one may draw far-reaching conclusions about north Arabian Jewry. Costa's recent article attempts to head in this direction, sending an excellent message and promoting research.⁶⁴ This subject is highly important for several disciplines other than Jewish studies, such as Late Antiquity and Early Islam. Interdisciplinary collaboration would surely yield abundant fruit.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank the anonymous readers of this article, as well as Professors Michael Lecker, José Costa, and Reuven Amitai for their invaluable comments and assistance.

ABBREVIATIONS

CII 2 = *Corpus Inscriptionum Iudaicarum: Recueil des inscriptions juives qui vont du IIIe siècle avant Jésus-Christ au VIIe siècle de notre ère, vol. 2: Asie – Afrique.* 1952. Edited by J.B. FREY, Città del Vaticano: Pontificio Istituto di Archeologia Cristiana.

CIS II/I = Corpus Inscriptionum Semiticarum II/I: Inscriptions Aramaicas Continens. 1889. Paris: n.p.

The King James Bible = The King James Version of the English Bible: An Account of the Development and Sources of the English Bible of 1611 with Special References to Hebrew Tradition. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1941.

⁶³ An example is my recent work on the religious and spiritual life of the Jews of Medina, in which I identify Talmudic elements in the Islamic descriptions of these Jews. See Mazuz 2014.
⁶⁴ See Costa 2015.

Yāqūt = AL-ḤAMAWĪ AL-RŪMĪ AL-BAGHDĀDĪ, Y. 1990. *Mu jam al-Buldān*. 7 Vols. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al- Ilmiyya.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

ABEL, F.-M. 1938. Géographie de la Palestine. 2 vols. Paris: Gabalda.

- ALBECK, H. 1958. Shisha Sidrei Mishna, Nashīm. Tel Aviv, Bialik Institute (in Hebrew).
- ALTHEIM, F. and R. STIEHL. 1968. *Die Araber in der alten Welt* 5/1. Berlin, De Gruyter.
- AVI-YONAH, M. 1974. Atlas Karta Second Edition. 4 vols. Jerusalem, n.p. (in Hebrew).
- BAER, M. 2007. "Between Jews and Bedouin (*Tayya 'ey*) Tribes in Sassanian Persia (Revisiting the Rabbah bar bar Hannā Stories)". In: *Derekh Aggada* 10, pp. 229– 248 (in Hebrew).
- AL-BAKRĪ, 'A. 1876–1877. Kitāb Mu'jam mā Isti jāma min Asmā' al-Bilād wa'l-Mawādi'. Das Geographische Wörterbuch des Abu 'Obeid 'Abdallah ben 'Abd el-'Aziz el-Bekrí, nach den Handschriften zu Leiden, Cambridge, London und Mailand; herausgegeben von Heinrich Ferdinand Wüstenfeld. 2 vols. Göttingen-Paris, Deuerlich Buchhandlung.
- BAR-ILAN, M. 1991. "What was the Purpose of the Tannaim in Describing the Borders of the Land of Israel". In: *Te uda* 7, pp. 95–110 (in Hebrew).
- BEN ZE'EV, I. 1931. The Jews in Arabia: The History of the Jews in the Arabian Peninsula and the South of Israel from the Arrival of Islam until their Exile from Most of the Arabian Territories. Jerusalem, Ahīasaf (in Hebrew).
- BEN ZVI, I. 1960. "The Origins of the Settlement of Jewish Tribes in Arabia". In: *Eretz-Israel: Archaeological, Historical and Geographical Studies* 6, pp. 130–148 (in Hebrew).
- BOWERSOCK, G.W. 1983. Roman Arabia. Cambridge, Harvard University Press.
- CHIESA, B. 1994a. "Gli Ebrei Arabi". In: S. NOJA. (ed.), *I primi Arabi*. Milano, Jaca. pp, 167–197.
- CHIESA, B. 1994b. "Les communautés juives en Arabie". In: S. NOJA. (ed.), L'Arabie avant l'Islam. Aix-en-Provence, Édisud. pp, 167–197.
- COHEN, A. 1912. "Arabisms in Rabbinic Literature". In: *Jewish Quarterly Review* 3/2, pp. 221–233.
- COSTA, J. 2015. "Les juifs d'Arabie dans la littérature talmudique". In: C.J. ROBIN (ed.), *Le judaïsme de l'Arabie antique*. Turnhout, Brepols, pp. 453–484.

- DAVIES, G.I. 1972. "Hagar, el-Heğra and the Location of Mount Sinai: With an Additional Note on Reqem". In: *Vetus Testamentum* 22/2, pp. 152–163.
- DOUGHTY, C.M. 1884. *Documents épigraphiques recueillis dans le Nord de l'Arabie*. Paris, Imprimerie Nationale.
- EUTING, J. 1885. Nabatäische Inschriften aus Arabien. Berlin, G. Reimer.
- FRIEDHEIM, E. 2000. "The Travelling Merchant and Arabian-Syrian Pagan Rituals Mentioned in the 'Tosefta'". In: *Tarbiz* 69/2, pp. 167–175 (in Hebrew).
- GIL, M. 1984. "The Origin of the Jews of Yathrib". In: Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam 4, pp. 203–224.
- GIL, M. 1997. *In the Kingdom of Ishmael during the Geonic Period*. 4 vols. Tel Aviv, Tel Aviv University (in Hebrew).
- GIL, M. 2004. Jews in Islamic Countries in the Middle Ages. Leiden, Brill.
- GOITEIN, S.D. 1931. "The Children of Israel and their Controversies: A Study in the Qur'ān". In: *Tarbiz* 3/3–4, pp. 410–422 (in Hebrew).
- GOODBLATT, D. 1995. "Medinat Ha-Yam The Coastal District". In: *Tarbiz* 64/1, pp. 13–37 (in Hebrew).
- GRAF, D.F. 1988. "Qurā 'Arabiyya and Provincia Arabia". In: P.-L. GATIER, B. HELLY and J.-P. REY-COQUAIS (eds.), *Géographie historique au Proche-Orient (Syrie, Phénicie, Arabie, grecques, romaines, byzantines)*. Paris, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, pp. 171–211.
- GRAF, D.F. 2001. "Ebrei in Arabia". In: A. LEWIN (ed.) *Gli ebrei nell'impero romano: Saggi vari*. Firenze, Editrice la Giuntina, pp. 259–270.
- HEALEY, J. 1989. "A Nabataean Sundial from Madā'in Ṣāliḥ". In: *Syria* 66, pp. 331–336.
- HIRSCHBERG, H.Z. 1946. Israel in Arabia: History of the Jews of Himyar and the Hijāz from the Destruction of the Second Temple to the Crusades. Tel Aviv, Bialik Institute (in Hebrew).
- HIRSCHBERG, H.Z. 1975. "New Jewish Inscriptions in the Nabataean Sphere". In: *Eretz-Israel: Archaeological, Historical and Geographical Studies* 12, pp. 142– 148 (in Hebrew).
- HIRSCHBERG, H.Z. 2007. "Arabia". In: M. BERENBAUM and F. SKOLNIK (eds.) *Encyclopaedia Judaica – Second Edition*. 22 vols. Detroit, Macmillan Reference, pp. 293–296.
- HOPKINS, S. 2009. "Judaeo-Arabic Inscriptions from Northern Arabia". In: W. ARNOLD, M. JURSA, W.W. MÜLLER, and S. PROCHÁZKA (eds.), *Philologisches und Historisches zwischen Anatolien und Sokotra: Analecta Semitica in Memoriam Alexander Sima*. Wiesbaden, Harrassowitz Verlag, pp. 125–136.

- HOROVITZ, J. 1929. "Judaeo-Arabic Relations in Pre-Islamic Times". In: *Islamic Culture* 3, pp. 161–199.
- HOYLAND, R. 2011. "The Jews of the Hijaz in the Qur'ān and in their Inscriptions".
 In: G.S. REYNOLDS (ed.), New Perspectives on the Qur'ān: The Qur'ān in its Historical Context 2. New York, Routledge, pp. 91–116.
- HUBER, C. 1891. Journal d'un Voyage en Arabie (1883–1884). Paris, Imprimerie Nationale.
- IBN HISHĀM, 'A. 1987. al-Sīra al-Nabawiyya. 4 vols. Beirut: Dār al-Kitāb al-'Arabī.
- JAUSSEN, A and R. SAVIGNAC. 1909. *Mission archéologique en Arabie I: De Jérusalem au Hedjaz, Médain Saleh*. Paris, Ernest Leroux.
- KIPERWASSER, R. 2008. "Rabbah bar bar Hannā's Voyages". In: *Jerusalem Studies in Hebrew Literature* 22, pp. 215–241 (in Hebrew).
- KISTER, M.J. and M. KISTER. 1980. "Notes on the Jews of Arabia". In: *Tarbiz* 49, pp. 231–247 (in Hebrew).
- KLEIN, S. 1928. "Das tannaitische Grenzverzeichnis Palästinas". In: Hebrew Union College Annual 5, pp. 197–259.
- KLEIN, S. 1929. "Studies on the Lineage Chapters in Chronicles". In: Zion: Collection of the Historical and Ethnographic Society of Israel 4. Jerusalem, Dvir Press, pp. 14–30 (in Hebrew).
- KLEIN, S. 1939a. *Judea from the Return to Zion to the Sealing of the Talmud*. Tel Aviv: Dvir Press (in Hebrew).
- KLEIN, S. 1939b. (ed.), Sefer ha-Yishūv. Vol 1. Jerusalem, Dvir Press (in Hebrew).
- KRAUSS, S. 1899. Griechische und Lateinische Lehnwörter im Talmud, Midrasch und Targum. 2 vols. Berlin, S. Calvary.
- KRAUSS, S. 1916. "Talmudische Nachrichten über Arabien". In: Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft 70, pp. 321–353.
- LECKER, M. 1987. "A Note on Early Marriage links between Qurashīs and Jewish Women". In: *Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam* 10, pp. 17–39.
- LECKER, M. 1995. "Judaism among Kinda and the Ridda of Kinda". In: *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 115/4, pp. 635–650.
- MACDONALD, M.C.A. 2010. "Ancient Arabia and the Written Word". In: M.C.A. MACDONALD (ed.), *The Development of Arabic as a Written Language*. Supplement to the Proceedings of the Seminar for Arabian Studies 40. Oxford, Archaeopress, pp. 5–28.
- MAZAR (MAISLER), B. 1949. "Reqem and Hegger". In: Tarbiz 20, pp. 316–319 (in Hebrew).

- MAZUZ, H. 2014. The Religious and Spiritual Life of the Jews of Medina. Leiden, Brill.
- AL-NAJEM, M. and M.C.A. MACDONALD. 2009. "A New Nabataean Inscription from Taymā". In: *Arabian Archaeology and Epigraphy* 20, pp. 208–217.
- NAVEH, J. 1978. "Ancient North-Arabian Inscriptions on Three Stone Bowls". In: *Eretz-Israel: Archaeological, Historical and Geographical Studies* 14, pp. 178– 182 (in Hebrew).
- NEHMÉ, L. 2005–2006. "Inscriptions nabatéennes vues et revues à Madā'in Ṣāliḥ". In: *Arabia* 3, pp. 179–225.
- NOJA, S. 1979. "Testimonianze epigrafiche di Giudei nell'Arabia settentrionale". In: *Bibbia e Oriente* 21, pp. 283–316.
- OBERMEYER, J. 1929. Die Landschaft Babylonien im Zeitalter des Talmuds und des Gaonats: Geographie und Geschichte nach talmudischen, arabischen und andern Quellen. Frankfurt am Main, I. Kauffmann Verlag.
- OPPENHEIMER, A., in collaboration with B.H. ISAAC and M. LECKER. 1983. *Babylonia Judaica in the Talmudic Period*. Wiesbaden, Dr. Ludwig Reichert Verlag.
- OPPENHEIMER, A. 1993. "Relations between Jews and Arabs in the Mishnaic and Talmudic Periods". In: *Proceedings of the Eleventh World Congress of Jewish Studies 11B/1*. Jerusalem, pp. 17–22.
- PREIS, M.J. 1997. "Nabataean Coins". In: Qadmoniot 10/4, p. 122 (in Hebrew).
- RAPPEL, D. 1984. "Geographic and Ethnic Names in the Translation of Onkelos". In: *Beit Mikra* 29/1, pp. 67–84 (in Hebrew).
- ROBIN, C.J. 2013. "Les religions pratiquées par les membres de la tribu en Kinda (Arabie) à la veille de l'Islam". In: Judaïsme ancien – Ancient Judaism 1, pp. 203–261.
- ROBIN, C.J. 2014. "The Peoples beyond the Arabian Frontier in Late Antiquity: Recent Epigraphic Discoveries and Latest Advances". In: J.H.F. DIJKSTRA and G. FISHER (eds.), *Interactions between Rome and the Peoples on the Arabian and Egyptian Frontiers in Late Antiquity*. Leuven, Paris, Walpole MA, Peeters, pp. 33–79.
- SARTRE, M. 1981. "La frontière méridionale de l'Arabie romaine". In: T. FAHD (ed.), La géographie administrative et politique d'Alexandre à Mahomet, Actes du Colloque de Strasbourg 14–16 juin 1979: Travaux du centre de recherche sur le Proche-Orient et la Grèce antiques 6. Leiden, Brill, pp. 77–92.
- SEYRIG, H. 1941. "Antiquités syriennes". In: Syria 22/3-4, pp. 218-270.
- SHAHÎD, I. 2000. "Țayyi' or Țayy". In: Encyclopaedia of Islam Second Edition. 11 vols. Leiden, Brill, Vol. 10, pp. 402–403.

- STIEHL, R. 1970. "A New Nabataean Inscription". In: R. STIEHL and H.E. STIER (eds.), Beiträge zur Alten Geschichte und deren Nachleben. Festschrift für Franz Altheim zum 6.10.1968, Vol. 2. Berlin, De Gruyter, pp. 87–90.
- THACKERAY, H. ST. J. 1926. *Jewish Antiquities*: I–IV. Josephus with an English Translation. 8 vols. London, Heinemann.
- TOBI, Y. 2012. "Jewish Connections and Connotations in the Poetry of Imru al-Qays (cur. 497–545 CE)". In: *Ben 'Ever La-'Arav* 5, pp. 9–66 (in Hebrew).
- WINNETT, F.V. and W.L. REED. 1970. *Ancient Records from North Arabia*. Toronto, University of Toronto.