Khalq al-Qur'ān

خلق القرآن

'The createdness of the Qur'an'

The issue of whether the Qur'ān could be said to have been created at one point, or not, formed a core debate in medieval Islamic thought, and drew with it the problem of whether the text of the Revelation was to be regarded as a part of the Divinity itself.

The controversy was intensified by the position of the Mu'tazila theologians who held that "the Speech of Allah Most High is created, invented, and brought into being" 1 – in the form of the scripture, the Qur' \bar{a} n.

For the Mu'tazila theologians it made no sense to think of God's commandments as existing before the creation of the beings to whom they were addressed. Nor did the doctrine explain the clear references and rulings in the Text that referred to events and people in the lifetime of the Prophet. Nor did the doctrine explain the phenomenon of divine abrogation of an earlier $s\bar{u}ra$ on the basis of such events.

The Mu'tazila instead held that God's word, both in the heavenly and worldly editions, was *created* and did *not* exist eternally.³

In these debates the Ash'arīs set themselves in opposition to the rationalising approach of the Mu'tazila. For the Ash'arīs the fact that the Qur'ān was 'revealed' to the Prophet Muhammad, not a source of 'inspiration' to him, meant that the Qur'ān was a physical incarnation of the eternal word of God.

If God is eternal, what does that mean for the Qur'ān? Their solution was based on the following Qur'ānic passages:

And verily it is in the original of the Book with Us, truly elevated, full of wisdom.⁴

Nay, but it is a glorious Qur'ān, On a guarded tablet.⁵

¹ Among the evidences the Muʿtazila adduced were: Qurʾān XXI (al-Anbiyāʾ) 2: There comes not to them a new reminder from their Lord but they hear it while they sport مَا يَأْتِيهِمْ مِنْ ذِكُرٍ مِنْ رَبِّهِمْ مُحْدَثُ إِلَّا اسْنَمَعُوهُ وَهُمْ يَلْعَبُونَ ; and XXVI (al-Shuʾarāʾ) 5: And there does not come to them a new reminder from the Beneficent Allah but they turn aside from it وَمَا لَا اللَّهُ مُعْرِضِينَ .

² Such as 'guests should leave early without seeking to remain for conversation [since that was] troubling the Prophet, and he is shy of [dismissing] you مُنْكُمْ عَانَ يُوْفِي النَّبِيّ إِنَّ ذَلِكُمْ كَانَ يُوْفِي النَّبِيّ [Qur'an XXXIII (al-Ahzāb) [Qur'an XXXIII (al-Ahzāb)] 33]; and the verses revealed on the occasion of marital problems among the Prophet's wives: And when the prophet secretly communicated a piece of information to one of his wives-- but when she informed (others) of it, and Allah made him to know it, he made known part of it and avoided part; so when he informed her of it, she said: Who informed you of this? He said: The Knowing, the one Aware, informed me وَإِذْ أَسَرُ النَّبِيُ إِلَى بَغُضَ أَزُواجِهِ حَدِيثًا قَامًا نَبَّالِي مُلَاقِعَ الْخَلِيمُ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَرَفَ بَغُضَهُ وَأَعْرَضَ عَنْ بَعْضِ فَلَمًا نَبًا هَا بِهِ قَالَتُ مَنُ النَّبُكُ فَذَا قَالَ نَبَّالِيمُ الْخَلِيمُ الْخَلِيمُ الْخَلِيمُ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَرَفَ بَغُضَهُ وَأَعْرَضَ عَنْ بَعْضِ فَلَمًا نَبًا هَا وَالْخَلِيمُ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَرَفَ بَغُضَهُ وَأَعْرَضَ عَنْ بَعْضِ الْمَا لِبَالِهُ الْخَلِيمُ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَرَفَ مَعْضَهُ وَأَعْرَضَ عَنْ بَعْضَ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَرَفَ وَالْعُرَافُ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَرَفَ وَالْعَرَضُ عَنْ بَعْضَ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَرَفَ وَالْعَرَضُ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَرَفَ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَرَفَ وَالْعُرَضُ عَنْ بَعْضَ اللهُ الْعَلِيمُ اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَرَفَ وَالْعَرَافُ اللهُ عَلَيْهِ عَرَفَ وَالْعَرَافُ اللهُ الْعَلَيْهُ الْعَلَيْهُ اللهُ الْعَلَيْهُ اللّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَرَفَ وَلَوْلَ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَرَفَ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ عَرَفَ اللهُ الْعَلِيمُ الْعَلَيْمُ اللّهُ عَلَيْهُ عَرْفَ اللهُ الْعَلَيْمُ اللّهُ اللهُ اللّهُ الْعَلَيْمُ اللهُ اللّهُ اللّهُ اللّهُ اللّهُ اللهُ اللّهُ اللّهُ اللهُ اللهُ اللّهُ اللّهُ اللّهُ اللّهُ اللّهُ اللهُ اللّهُ اللهُ الل

³ One Muʿtazilī, Abū al-Hudhayl al-ʿAllāf, formulated it as: the Qurʾān which was preserved on the Preserved Tablet was a prototype ('*araḍ*), subsequently displayed through three places: the place where it was kept, the place where it was written and the place where it was read and heard.

⁴ Qur'ān XLIII (al-Zukhruf), 4: وَإِنَّهُ فِي أُمَّ الْكِتَابِ لَدَيْنَا لَعَلِيٌّ حَكِيمٌ And Lo! in the Source of Decrees, which We possess, it is indeed sublime, decisive.

⁵ Qur'ān LXXXV (al-Burūj) 21-22: بِنُ هُوَ قُرْآنٌ مَجِيدٌ فِي لَوْح مَحْفُوظٍ Nay, but it is a glorious Qur'ān, On a guarded tablet

The 'original of the Book' (lit. 'Umm al-Kitāb 'The Mother of the Book') is thus pre-existent with God, and of God, in al-lūh al-mahfūz, the 'Guarded Tablet'.

At this level, the Revelation is eternal, not bound by space and time. The Ash'arīs classified the word of God into two levels, i.e. kalām nafsī and kalām lafzī. The formless kalām nafsī co-exists with God's Essence and is eternal – the 'heavenly edition' as it were, and the kalām lafzī is the 'worldly edition' manifested in the world through Muḥammad. The kalām nafsī is God's Essence which acquires its shape in the form of words spoken in the world, an embodiment that does not change its essence by merging the two 'editions'. 67

At the far end of the scale from the Mu'tazila were the textual literalists (the *Hashawiyya*) who held the ultimate position that 'the alphabetical characters (al-hurūf al-muqatta'a), the materials on which they are written, the colours in which they are written, and all that is between the two covers [of the volumes of Qur'ān] is beginning-less and pre-existent (qadīma azaliyya).'

A secondary issue in the controversy was the status of the hadīth. Al-Shāfi'ī was adamant in insisting that these possessed a scriptural status (and can actually abrogate the Qur'ān). If, by logical reasoning, the Qur'an was accepted as 'created', what does this imply for the masses of *ḥadīth* that maintain its 'uncreatedness'? It would necessarily marginalise them.

The position eventually worked out by the Ash'arīs, was that the Qur'ān was the beginning-less speech of Allah Most High unchanged, uncreated, not of recent origin in time, nor brought into being, but that the alphabetical characters and so on were created, originated, and produced. This became the dominant position.8

During the long course of the debate the various schools of thought elaborated their position on the *Khalq al-Qur'ān*:

The Jahmīs 'Created' as a set of meanings, given physical expression to by Muḥammad; 'Created' and not co-eternal with God ("if the Quran is the word of God, he logically The Mu'tazila "must have preceded his own speech"; if the Quran could be subjected to abrogation, with a new verse abrogating an earlier one, it could not be eternal); The Māturīdīs 'Created' – the 'text' of God's speech is not God's actual speech, it only represents it. The 'inner speech' of God is what is co-eternal with Him; The Ash'arīs 'Uncreated' - the eternal speech is of God, but there is a distinction between the

words of God and their realisation, i.e. the alphabetical characters and so on were created;

⁶ In Sunnism it is believed that Allah's divine doctrines are held and preserved by Allah in heaven on tablets. In Shī'ism it is believed that God is pure spirit, having no need of preserving what it knows in material form... The Sunni belief in an independent Qur'an is viewed in Shī'ism as a remnant of idolatry and polytheism. Sunnism holds that the Qur'an was not created, and thus on a par with God himself. Shī'ism sees having two uncreated, eternal entities, God and the Qur'an, as abrogating monotheism, and thus rejects that notion. In Shiite belief, the Qur'an has been created, and God is the sole uncreated, eternal entity.

⁷ A. Shalabī, *al-Yahūdiyya*: (Cairo: Maktabah an-Nahḍa al-Maṣriyya, 1988), 222: "The doctrine of the Qur'an eternity cannot be separated from the influence of Jewish and Christian teachings which believe in the eternity of Torah and Jesus Christ.

⁸ Al-Ghazālī, قواعد العقائد في التوحيد ('Foundations for Islamic Belief'): "He speaks, commanding, forbidding, promising, and threatening, with a speech from eternity, ancient, and self-existing. Unlike the speech of the creation, it is not a sound which is caused through the passage of air or the friction of bodies; nor is it a letter which is enunciated through the opening and closing of lips and the movement of the tongue. The Qur'an, the original Torah, the original Gospel of Jesus, and the original Psalms are His Books sent down upon His Messengers. The Qur'an is read by tongues, written in books, and remembered in the heart, yet it is, nevertheless, nevertheless, ancient, subsisting in the Essence of God, not subject to division and or separation through its transmission to the heart and paper. Moses heard the Speech of God without sound and without letter, just as the righteous see the Essence of God in the Hereafter, without substance or its quality". (Tr. from C. Cornille (ed.) Criteria of Discernment in Interreligious Dialogue, 2009, Cascade Books, p.147. Text in رسائل الغزالي Dār al-Fikr, Beirut 1996, p.62).

'Uncreated' - 'the alphabetical characters, the materials on which they are written, The Hanbalīs the colours in which they are written, and all that is between the two covers is

beginning-less and pre-existent';

'Uncreated' but not eternal. The genius of God's speaking is eternal, while His Ibn Taymiyya individual speech acts are not. His speech acts are not 'rated' since they subsist in God's essence, not outside of God. Thus, God's individual speech acts, are neither

created nor eternal.

The view of the textualists prevailed since the reverence for the letter of the text was too strong to admit the ambiguities of philosophical speculation. ¹⁰

The problem of the shadow of Christianity

There is another strong factor, as Muslim thinkers have demonstrated, that made the debate such a controversial issue. It is likely that the doctrine of the eternal nature of the Qur'an was a reaction to early period Christian apologetics against the Prophet of Islam and the legitimacy of the Qur'an. As a response to this, the argumentation of the uncreatedness of the Qur'an, and its co-eternity with God, reveals the shadow of Christian doctrine¹¹, in particular the Christological debate on the nature of the logos¹² and the implication of another Person in the Godhead. ¹³

The Mu'tazila had opposed the doctrine of khalq al-Qur'ān on the grounds that if Muslims were to deplore the 'apotheosis of a man' in Christ, why would they maintain the 'eternal nature' (qidam) of the Qur'ān which is also an apotheosis, in that the attribute of eternal existence is one of the exclusive attributes of God.¹⁴

The physical fabric of the scripture is not a problem, for instance, among Christians since the Christian scripture was considered divinely *inspired*, though written by humans, and in essence instructed believers about God and His in-working in the world. In Islam, however, the problem was whether the Text of the Revelation was to be regarded as a part of the Divinity itself:

This Qur'an is not such as can be produced by other than Allah; on the contrary it is a confirmation of (revelations) that went before it, and a fuller explanation of the Book - wherein there is no doubt - from the Lord of the worlds. [Qur'ān X (Yūsuf), 37]

⁹ Ibn Batta, Al-Ibāna al-Ṣughrā: "The Qur'ān is uncreated no matter where it is read, written or recited, even written on the

 $^{^{10}}$ The 'ordeal of Ibn Ḥanbal' (محنة ابن حنبل) in which Ibn Ḥanbal was imprisoned and beaten for about two years, and in which the Caliphs al-Ma'mūn, al-Mu'taṣim and al-Wāthiq attempted over 18 years (833-851) to enforce the Mu'tazilī doctrine of the createdness of the Qur'ān, is symbolic of the importance of this theological doctrine to the textualists.

¹¹ Naṣr Ḥāmid Abū Zayd notes this parallel: "By comparing the Qur'ān and the Messiah in terms of the 'revelation' of the former and the 'nature of the birth' of the latter reveals points of similarity between the religious foundation of either within the credal foundation of Islam itself. We would perhaps not be exaggerating if we said that the foundations of the two doctrines were not separate, but were of the same single foundation despite the differences in their component elements." القرآن وبين السيد المسيح من حيث طبيعة "ازول" الأول وطبيعة "ميلاد" الثاني تكشف عن اوجه السيد المسيح من حيث طبيعة "ازول" الأول وطبيعة "ميلاد" الثاني إذا قانا انهما ليستا بنيتين, بالبنية واحدة رغم اختلاف العناصر الشابه بين البنية الدينية لكل منكما دخل البناء العقائدي للإسلام نفسه, ولعلنا لا نكون مغالين إذا قانا انهما ليستا بنيتين, بالبنية واحدة رغم اختلاف العناصر الشابه بين البنية لكل منكما دخل البناء العقائدي للإسلام نفسه. Naṣr Ḥāmid Abū Zayd, ibid.

¹² The Christological controversies on the nature of Christ may be anecdotally summed up as the differentiation caused by the smallest letter of the Greek alphabet, the *iota* subscript: ὁμοούσιος or ὁμοιούσιος (is the Son of the same, or *similar*, substance with the Father?)

¹³ As Thomas Aquinas would later teach in Reasons for the Faith against Muslim Objections, chapter 3, "The Word of God ... is co-eternal with God." The force of Thomas's argument in developing the implications of this position reveals exactly why the Mu'tazila objected to the Christ-like status of the uncreated Qur'an; it led ineluctably to another Person in the Godhead, a conclusion inimical to tawhīd.

¹⁴ Nasr Hāmid Abū Zayd, *ibid*.

That is, not merely a reporting of what God said, but in some way the actual manifestation of an action of the Divinity. The textualists – with such verses in mind – were pursuing this line of thinking.

The insistence of the Mu'tazila, on the other hand, on the *createdness* of the Our'ān stemmed from their unease at the implications of the textualist position. If Muslims rejected the 'dual nature' of Christ as God and Man as fantasy, why would they not equally reject the 'dual nature' of the Qur'anic text as fantasy? 15

In each case, they argued, the transmission of the 'word of God' / logos was via the same intermediary: Gabriel $(Jibr\bar{a}'\bar{\imath}l)$ – the divine manifesting itself thereby in the human world. In each case it is the incarnation of the word of God: in the Messiah and in the human linguistic fabric of the Qur'an: 16

He hath revealed unto thee (Muhammad) the Scripture with truth, confirming that which was (revealed) before it, even as He revealed the Torah and the Gospel. [Qur'ān III (Āl 'Umrān), 3]

The Messiah, Jesus son of Mary, was a messenger of Allah, and His word, which He conveyed unto Mary, and a spirit from Him [Qur'ān IV (al-Nisā'), 171]

The Mu'tazila therefore argued that if Muslims believed in the 'createdness' of Jesus Christ, who is stated as the 'word of God' in some Qur'anic verses, they would have to believe in the createdness of the Qur'an which is also stated to be the 'word of God'.

Why is the Khalq al-Our'ān debate still important in Islamic reform?

The debate, first of all, has implications for hermeneutics – the $tafs\bar{\imath}r$ literature upon which all Islamic law is based. Secondly, it has a knock-on effect on how to enact, in human organisation and behaviour, the directives of the Qur'an and the doctrinal rulings derived from it.

The Mu'tazila theologians who embraced the createdness of the Qur'an tended to interpret metaphorically the literal meaning of texts and searched for the spiritual and ethical spirit behind the provisions of some Qur'anic legislation. This was weakening the importance of the literal meaning of the Text, and thus weakening the importance of the Arab sciences of the Text relative to the foreign, interloper sciences (the 'ulūm dakhīla) of Reason.

The Muslim theologians, who held to the eternity of the Qur'an, necessarily interpret the Qur'an with a more strictly textual, not metaphorical or allegorical approach. They work according to the principle: al-'ibra bi-'umūm al-lafz lā bi-khusūs al-sabab - 'the precepts are derived from the universality of the expression, not the specificity of the context. That is, that the closer one holds to the textual words of the Qur'ān (i.e. in its very Arabic fabric), the closer one is to God's will. This enshrines the supremacy of text over meaning, as a foundation of the faith.

Textualism trumping contextualism – the fear of Qur'ānic historicity

The suggestion that the Qur'ān was a document created in history places the metaphysical origins of the Qur'an in question. It means that historical events mentioned in the Qur'an will not have been determined from eternity. All value concepts contained in the Qur'an, whether they are theological-ritual normative doctrines or ethic-legal laws, will thus have no definite and permanent status on the grounds that all of these concepts were revealed within the historical

¹⁶ Ibid.

¹⁵ Ibid.

ار سُولُ اللهِ وَكَلِمَتُهُ , "A messenger of Allah, and His word" . رَسُولُ اللهِ وَكَلِمَتُهُ

¹⁸ The rule in fundamentals of jurisprudence is that the general meaning of the words are used to derive a ruling, not the specific reason(s) for their revelation. One of the implications of this is that it removes the possibility of the 'historicity' of the Qur'an, and supports the application of Qur'anic rulings outside their original intention, on the understanding that everything stated is eternally relevant.

context of Arab societies at a specific era, one that is very different from the historical context of Muslim societies today.

The fear is, for the textualists, that no moral values held by Muslims can be said to be permanent and Our'ānic. The door is therefore left open for free human speculation on issues of morality and ethics. The behavioural and ethical implications of this are clear:

- Are Muslims permitted to understand the Qur'an as communicating ideas relevant only to a certain time and a certain place – based on the time and context of the revelation?
- Can Muslims thus be permitted to discern the generic religio-ethical spirit or moral ideals that underlie these Qur'anic rulings, beyond the letter of the texts?
- Or is the text immutable and literally true, irrespective of context, time or place, irrespective of changes in circumstances, cultures, demographics, and inter-faith communications?

The issue remains alive today. On February 13th 2005 His Eminence Shaykh Muhammad ibn Ṣāliḥ al-'Uthaymīn, Member of the Committee of Grand Scholars in Saudi Arabia, reaffirmed his position that the 'fallacy' of the belief of the created Qur'ān –

would entail other subsequent invalid results. This would give way to the wrong claim of the resemblance between Allah's Word and that of people, since both, according to them, were created. This would go against Allah's saying: His is the Creation and Commandment (Qur'an: VII,54). The commandment cannot be made except through speaking. If Allah's Word is created, thus, there will be only one possibility of creation without the existence of the commandment. This will even lead to the invalidation of the meaning of the Holy Qur'ān.

How these questions were resolved or not resolved continues to have has implications for the Islamists' reading of scripture, and accordingly their actions that are made on this basis. To date the behavioural and ethical implications of the prevailing textualism has resulted in alienating Muslims from navigating their faith in a way that is sensitive to their actual experience, under the command simply to submit to the sacred text as something that must perforce override human experience.

This remains a powerful argument against reform-minded, modernising Muslims who argue that the contemporary world requires contemporary solutions.